O. V. Gutik[⊠], O. B. Popadiuk

ON THE SEMIGROUP OF INJECTIVE ENDOMORPHISMS OF THE SEMIGROUP $B_{\omega}^{\mathcal{F}_n}$ WHICH IS GENERATED BY THE FAMILY \mathcal{F}_n OF INITIAL FINITE INTERVALS OF ω

An injective endomorphisms of the inverse semigroup $\mathbf{B}_{\omega}^{\mathcal{F}}$, introduced in the paper [3], in the case where the family \mathcal{F}_n is generated by the set $\{0,1,\ldots,n\}$ are described. In particular, it is shown that the semigroup of injective endomorphisms of the semigroup $\mathbf{B}_{\omega}^{\mathcal{F}}$ is isomorphic to $(\omega, +)$. Also, the structure of the semigroup $\mathfrak{End}(\mathcal{B}_{\lambda})$ of all endomorphisms of the semigroup of $\lambda \times \lambda$ -matrix units \mathcal{B}_{λ} are described.

Key words: bicyclic extension, inverse semigroup, endomorphism, automorphism, semigroup of $\lambda \times \lambda$ -matrix units.

1. Introduction, motivation and main definitions. We shall follow the terminology of [9, 10, 20, 24]. By ω we denote the set of all non-negative integers.

Let $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$ be the family of all subsets of ω . For any $F \in \mathcal{P}(\omega)$ and $n, m \in \omega$, we put $n - m + F = \{n - m + k : k \in F\}$ if $F \neq \emptyset$ and $n - m + \emptyset = \emptyset$. A subfamily $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega)$ is called ω -closed if $F_1 \cap (-n + F_2) \in \mathcal{F}$ for all $n \in \omega$ and $F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{F}$.

We denote $[0;0] = \{0\}$ and $[0;k] = \{0,...,k\}$ for any positive integer k. The set [0;k], $k \in \omega$, is called an *initial interval* of ω .

A partially ordered set (or shortly a poset) (X, \leq) is the set X with the reflexive, antisymmetric and transitive relation \leq . In this case the relation \leq is called a partial order on X. A partially ordered set (X, \leq) is a *linearly* ordered set or is a chain if $x \leq y$ or $y \leq x$ for any $x, y \in X$. A map f from a poset (X, \leq) onto a poset (Y, \leq) is said to be an order isomorphism if f is bijective and $x \leq y$ if and only if $f(x) \leq f(y)$. A partial order isomorphism f from a poset (X, \leq) into a poset (Y, \leq) is an order isomorphism from a subset A of a poset (X, \leq) onto a subset B of a poset (Y, \leq) . For any element x of a poset (X, \leq) , we denote $\uparrow_{\leq} x = \{y \in X : x \leq y\}$.

A nonempty set S with a binary associative operation is called a *semi-group*. By $(\omega, +)$ we denote the set ω with the usual addition $(x, y) \mapsto x + y$.

A semigroup S is called *inverse* if for any element $x \in S$ there exists a unique $x^{-1} \in S$ such that $xx^{-1}x = x$ and $x^{-1}xx^{-1} = x^{-1}$. The element x^{-1} is called the *inverse of* $x \in S$. If S is an inverse semigroup, then the mapping $inv: S \to S$ which assigns to every element x of S its inverse element x^{-1} is called the *inversion*.

If S is a semigroup, then we shall denote the subset of all idempotents in S by E(S). If S is an inverse semigroup, then E(S) is closed under multiplication and we shall refer to E(S) as a *band* (or the *band of* S). Then the semigroup operation on S determines the following partial order \leq on E(S):

[⊠] ogutik@gmail.com

ISSN 0130-9420. Мат. методи та фіз.-мех. поля. 2022. – 65, № 1-2. – С. 42-57.

 $e \leq f$ if and only if ef = fe = e. This order is called the *natural partial order* on E(S). A *semilattice* is a commutative semigroup of idempotents. By (ω, \min) we denote the set ω with the semilattice operation $x \cdot y = \min\{x, y\}$.

For semigroups S and T, a map $\mathfrak{h}: S \to T$ is called:

- a homomorphism if $\mathfrak{h}(s_1 \cdot s_2) = \mathfrak{h}(s_1) \cdot \mathfrak{h}(s_2)$ for all $s_1, s_2 \in S$;
- an annihilating homomorphism if h is a homomorphism and h(s₁) = h(s₂) for all s₁, s₂ ∈ S;
- an isomorphism if $\mathfrak{h}: S \to T$ is a bijective homomorphism.

For a semigroup S, a homomorphism (an isomorphism) $\mathfrak{h}: S \to S$ is called an *endomorphism* (*automorphism*) of S. For simplicity of calculation, the image of $s \in S$ under an endomorphism \mathfrak{e} of a semigroup S we shall denote by $(s)\mathfrak{e}$.

A congruence on a semigroup S is an equivalence relation \mathfrak{C} on S such that $(s,t) \in \mathfrak{C}$ implies that $(as,at), (sb,tb) \in \mathfrak{C}$ for all $a, b \in S$. Every congruence \mathfrak{C} on a semigroup S generates the associated natural homomorphism $\mathfrak{C}^{\natural}: S \to S/\mathfrak{C}$ which assigns to each element s of S its congruence class $[s]_{\mathfrak{C}}$ in the quotient semigroup S/\mathfrak{C} . Also, every homomorphism $\mathfrak{h}: S \to T$ of semigroups S and T generates the congruence $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{h}}$ on $S: (s_1, s_2) \in \mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{h}}$ if and only if $(s_1)\mathfrak{h} = (s_2)\mathfrak{h}$.

A nonempty subset I of a semigroup S is called an *ideal* of S if $SIS = \{asb : s \in I, a, b \in S\} \subseteq I$. Every ideal I of a semigroup S generates the congruence $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{I}} = (I \times I) \bigcup \Delta_S$ on S, which is called the *Rees congruence* on S.

Let \mathcal{I}_{λ} denote the set of all partial one-to-one transformations of λ together with the following semigroup operation:

 $x(\alpha\beta) = (x\alpha)\beta$ if $x \in \text{dom}(\alpha\beta) = \{y \in \text{dom}\alpha : y\alpha \in \text{dom}\beta\}$ for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{I}_{\lambda}$.

The semigroup \mathcal{I}_{λ} is called the *symmetric inverse semigroup* over the cardinal λ (see [9]). For any $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_{\lambda}$, the cardinality of dom α is called the *rank* of α and it is denoted by rank α . The symmetric inverse semigroup was introduced by V. V. Wagner [2] and it plays a major role in the theory of semigroups.

Put $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}^{n} = \{ \alpha \in \mathcal{I}_{\lambda} : \operatorname{rank} \alpha \leq n \}$ for n = 1, 2, 3, ... Obviously, $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}^{n}$, n = 1, 2, 3, ..., are inverse semigroups, moreover, $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}^{n}$ is an ideal of \mathcal{I}_{λ} for each n = 1, 2, 3, ... The semigroup $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}^{n}$ is called the symmetric inverse semigroup of finite transformations of the rank $\leq n$ [11]. By

$$egin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \ldots & x_n \ y_1 & y_2 & \ldots & y_n \end{pmatrix}$$

we denote a partial one-to-one transformation which maps x_1 onto y_1 , x_2 onto y_2 , ..., and x_n onto y_n . Obviously, in such case we have $x_i \neq x_j$ and $y_i \neq y_j$ for $i \neq j$, i, j = 1, 2, 3, ..., n. The empty partial map $\emptyset : \lambda \rightarrow \lambda$ is denoted by **0**. It is obvious that **0** is zero of the semigroup $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}^{n}$.

For a partially ordered set (P, \leq) , a subset X of P is called *order-convex*, if $x \leq z \leq y$ and $x, y \in X$ implies that $z \in X$ for all $x, y, z \in P$ [15]. It is obvious that the set of all partial order isomorphisms between convex subsets of (ω, \leq) under the composition of partial self-maps forms an inverse subsemi-

group of the symmetric inverse semigroup \mathcal{I}_{ω} over the set ω . We denote this semigroup by $\mathcal{I}_{\omega}^{n}(\overrightarrow{conv})$. We put $\mathcal{I}_{\omega}^{n}(\overrightarrow{conv}) = \mathcal{I}_{\omega}(\overrightarrow{conv}) \cap \mathcal{I}_{\omega}^{n}$ and it is obvious that $\mathcal{I}_{\omega}^{n}(\overrightarrow{conv})$ is closed under the semigroup operation of \mathcal{I}_{ω}^{n} and the semigroup $\mathcal{I}_{\omega}^{n}(\overrightarrow{conv})$ is called the *inverse semigroup of convex order isomorphisms* of (ω, \leq) of the rank $\leq n$. Obviously that every non-zero element of the semigroup $\mathcal{I}_{\omega}^{n}(\overrightarrow{conv})$ of the rank $k \leq n$ has a form

$$\begin{pmatrix} i & i+1 & \dots & i+k-1 \\ j & j+1 & \dots & j+k-1 \end{pmatrix}$$

for some $i, j \in \omega$.

The bicyclic monoid C(p,q) is the semigroup with the identity 1 generated by two elements p and q subjected only to the condition pq = 1. The semigroup operation on C(p,q) is determined as follows: $q^k p^{\ell} \cdot q^m p^n = q^{k+m-\min\{\ell,m\}}p^{\ell+n-\min\{\ell,m\}}$.

It is well known that the bicyclic monoid C(p,q) is a bisimple (and hence simple) combinatorial *E*-unitary inverse semigroup and every non-trivial congruence on C(p,q) is a group congruence [9].

On the set ${\cal B}_{\omega}=\omega\times\omega\,$ we define the semigroup operation " \cdot " in the following way

$$(i_1, j_1) \cdot (i_2, j_2) = \begin{cases} (i_1 - j_1 + i_2, j_2), & j_1 \leq i_2, \\ (i_1, j_1 - i_2 + j_2), & j_1 \geq i_2. \end{cases}$$

It is well known that the semigroup B_{ω} is isomorphic to the bicyclic monoid by the mapping $\mathfrak{h}: \mathcal{C}(p,q) \to B_{\omega}, q^k p^{\ell} \mapsto (k,\ell)$ (see, [9, Secti. 1.12] or [24, Exercise IV.1.11(*ii*)]).

Next, we shall describe the construction which is introduced in [3].

Let B_{ω} be the bicyclic monoid and \mathcal{F} be an ω -closed subfamily of $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$. On the set $B_{\omega} \times \mathcal{F}$ we define the semigroup operation " \cdot " in the following way

$$(i_1, j_1, F_1) \cdot (i_2, j_2, F_2) = \begin{cases} (i_1 - j_1 + i_2, j_2, (j_1 - i_2 + F_1) \cap F_2), & j_1 \leq i_2, \\ (i_1, j_1 - i_2 + j_2, F_1 \cap (i_2 - j_1 + F_2)), & j_1 \geq i_2. \end{cases}$$

In [3] it is proved that if the family $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega)$ is ω -closed, then $(\mathbf{B}_{\omega} \times \mathcal{F}, \cdot)$ is a semigroup. Moreover, if an ω -closed family $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega)$ contains the empty set \emptyset , then the set $I = \{(i, j, \emptyset) : i, j \in \omega\}$ is an ideal of the semigroup $(\mathbf{B}_{\omega} \times \mathcal{F}, \cdot)$. Also, in [3], for any ω -closed family $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega)$, it is defined the semigroup

$$\boldsymbol{B}_{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{\mathcal{F}} = \begin{cases} (\boldsymbol{B}_{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \times \mathcal{F}, \cdot) \, / \, I, & \boldsymbol{\varnothing} \in \boldsymbol{F}, \\ (\boldsymbol{B}_{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \times \mathcal{F}, \cdot), & \boldsymbol{\varnothing} \notin \boldsymbol{F}, \end{cases}$$

that generalizes the bicyclic monoid and the countable semigroup of matrix units. It is proved in [3] that $B_{\omega}^{\mathcal{F}}$ is a combinatorial inverse semigroup and Green's relations, the natural partial order on $B_{\omega}^{\mathcal{F}}$ and its set of idempotents are described. The criteria of simplicity, 0-simplicity, bisimplicity, 0-bisimplicity of the semigroup $B_{\omega}^{\mathcal{F}}$ and the criterion for $B_{\omega}^{\mathcal{F}}$ to have the identity, to be isomorphic to the bicyclic semigroup or the countable semigroup of matrix units are given. In particular, in [3] it is proved that the semigroup $B_{\omega}^{\mathcal{F}}$ is isomorphic to the semigroup of $\omega \times \omega$ -matrix units if and only if \mathcal{F} consists of a singleton set and the empty set.

In the case where the family \mathcal{F} consists of the empty set and some singleton subsets of ω , the semigroup $B^{\mathcal{F}}_{\omega}$ is studied in [12]. It is proved that the semigroup $B^{\mathcal{F}}_{\omega}$ is isomorphic to the subsemigroup $\mathcal{B}^{\sigma}_{\omega}(F_{\min})$ of the Brandt ω -extension of the subsemilattice (F, \min) of (ω, \min) , where $F = \bigcup \mathcal{F}$. Also, topologizations of the semigroup $B^{\mathcal{F}}_{\omega}$ and its closure in semitopological semi-groups are studied.

For any $n \in \omega$, we put $\mathcal{F}_n = \{[0;k] : k = 0,...,n\}$. It is obvious that \mathcal{F}_n is an ω -closed family of ω .

In the paper [13], we study the semigroup $B_{\omega}^{\mathcal{F}_n}$. It is shown that the Green relations \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{J} coincide in $B_{\omega}^{\mathcal{F}_n}$, the semigroup $B_{\omega}^{\mathcal{F}_n}$ is isomorphic to the semigroup $\mathcal{I}_{\omega}^{n+1}(\overline{\operatorname{conv}})$, and $B_{\omega}^{\mathcal{F}_n}$ admits only Rees congruencies. Also, in [13], we study shift-continuous topologies of the semigroup $B_{\omega}^{\mathcal{F}_n}$. In particular, we prove that for any shift-continuous T_1 -topology τ on the semigroup $B_{\omega}^{\mathcal{F}_n}$, every non-zero element of $B_{\omega}^{\mathcal{F}_n}$ is an isolated point of $(B_{\omega}^{\mathcal{F}_n}, \tau)$, $B_{\omega}^{\mathcal{F}_n}$ admits the unique compact shift-continuous T_1 -topology, and every ω_0 -compact shift-continuous T_1 -topology is compact. We describe the closure of the semi-group $B_{\omega}^{\mathcal{F}_n}$ in a Hausdorff semitopological semigroup and prove the criterion when a topological inverse semigroup $B_{\omega}^{\mathcal{F}_n}$ is H-closed in the class of Hausdorff topological semigroups.

Surprisingly, not so many articles are devoted to endomorphisms and automorphisms of semigroups. In particular, in [7] the authors propose a general recipe for calculating the automorphism groups of semigroups consisting of partial endomorphisms of relational structures over a finite set with a single m-ary relation for any positive integer m, which determine the automorphism groups of the following semigroups: the full transformation semigroup, the partial transformation semigroup, and the symmetric inverse semigroup, the wreath product of two full transformation semigroups, the partial endomorphisms of any partially ordered set, the full spectrum of semigroups of partial mappings preserving or reversing a linear or circular order. In the paper [16] the authors characterize the endomorphisms of the semigroup of all order-preserving mappings on a finite chain. In [17] Fernandes and Santos characterize the monoids of endomorphisms of the semigroup of all order-preserving partial transformations and of the semigroup of all order-preserving partial permutations of a finite chain. Also, the semigroups of a finite chain are described in [6, 19]. Endomorphisms and automorphisms of other types of semigroups are studied in [1, 4, 5, 8, 14, 18, 21-27] and other papers.

This paper is a continuation of the investigation which is presented in [13]. Here we describe injective endomorphisms of the semigroup $\mathcal{I}^n_{\omega}(\overrightarrow{conv})$ for a positive integer $n \geq 2$. In particular, we show that for $n \geq 2$ the semigroup of injective endomorphisms of the semigroup $\mathcal{B}^{\mathcal{F}_n}_{\omega}$ is isomorphic to $(\omega, +)$. Also, we describe the structure of the semigroup $\mathfrak{End}(\mathcal{B}_{\lambda})$ of all endomorphisms of the semigroup of $\lambda \times \lambda$ -matrix units \mathcal{B}_{λ} .

2. On injective endomorphisms of the semigroup $B_{\omega}^{\mathcal{F}_n}$.

Proposition 1. For any non-negative integer n and arbitrary $p \in \omega$, the map $\mathfrak{e}_{\mathfrak{p}} : \mathbf{B}_{\omega}^{\mathcal{F}_n} \to \mathbf{B}_{\omega}^{\mathcal{F}_n}$ defined by the formulae $(\mathbf{0})\mathfrak{e}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathbf{0}$ and $(i, j, [0; k])\mathfrak{e}_{\mathfrak{p}} = (p + i, p + j, [0; k])$ is an endomorphism of the semigroup $\mathbf{B}_{\omega}^{\mathcal{F}_n}$.

P r o o f. It is obvious that
$$(\mathbf{0})\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{p}} \cdot (\mathbf{0})\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{p}} = \mathbf{0} \cdot \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0} = (\mathbf{0})\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{p}} = (\mathbf{0} \cdot \mathbf{0})\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{p}}$$
 and
 $(\mathbf{0})\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{p}} \cdot (i, j, [0; k])\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{p}} = \mathbf{0} \cdot (p + i, p + j, [0; k]) = \mathbf{0} = (\mathbf{0})\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{p}} = (\mathbf{0} \cdot (i, j, [0; k]))\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{p}}$

$$(i,j,[0;k])\boldsymbol{e}_{\mathfrak{p}} \cdot (\mathbf{0})\boldsymbol{e}_{\mathfrak{p}} = (p+i,p+j,[0;k]) \cdot \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0} = (\mathbf{0})\boldsymbol{e}_{\mathfrak{p}} = ((i,j,[0;k]) \cdot \mathbf{0})\boldsymbol{e}_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

for any non-zero element (i, j, [0; k]) of the semigroup $B_{\omega}^{\mathcal{F}_n}$. Also, for any non-zero elements $(i_1, j_1, [0; k_1])$ and $(i_2, j_2, [0; k_2])$ of the semigroup $B_{\omega}^{\mathcal{F}_n}$, we have that

$$\begin{split} & (i_1, j_1, [0; k_1]) \mathfrak{e}_{\mathfrak{p}} \cdot (i_2, j_2, [0; k_2]) \mathfrak{e}_{\mathfrak{p}} = (p + i_1, p + j_1, [0; k_1]) \cdot (p + i_2, p + j_2, [0; k_2]) = \\ & = \begin{cases} (p + i_1 - (p + j_1) + p + i_2, p + j_2, (p + j_1 - (p + i_2) + [0; k_1]) \cap [0; k_2]), & p + j_1 p + i_2, \end{cases} \\ & = \begin{cases} (p + i_1 - j_1 + i_2, p + j_2, (j_1 - i_2 + [0; k_1]) \cap [0; k_2]), & j_1 < i_2, \\ (p + i_1, p + j_2, [0; k_1] \cap [0; k_2]), & j_1 = i_2, \\ (p + i_1, p + j_1 - i_2 + j_2, [0; k_1] \cap (i_2 - j_1 + [0; k_2])), & j_1 > i_2, \end{cases} \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} (i_1, j_1, [0; k_1]) \cdot (i_2, j_2, [0; k_2])) \mathfrak{e}_{\mathfrak{p}} &= \\ &= \begin{cases} (i_1 - j_1 + i_2, j_2, (j_1 - i_2 + [0; k_1]) \cap [0; k_2]) \mathfrak{e}_{\mathfrak{p}}, & j_1 < i_2, \\ (i_1, j_2, [0; k_1] \cap [0; k_2]) \mathfrak{e}_{\mathfrak{p}}, & j_1 = i_2, = \\ (i_1, j_1 - i_2 + j_2, [0; k_1] \cap (i_2 - j_1 + [0; k_2])) \mathfrak{e}_{\mathfrak{p}}, & j_1 > i_2, \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} (p + i_1 - j_1 + i_2, p + j_2, (j_1 - i_2 + [0; k_1]) \cap [0; k_2]), & j_1 < i_2, \\ (p + i_1, p + j_2, [0; k_1] \cap [0; k_2]), & j_1 = i_2, \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} (p + i_1, p + j_2, [0; k_1] \cap [0; k_2]), & j_1 = i_2, \\ (p + i_1, p + j_1 - i_2 + j_2, [0; k_1] \cap (i_2 - j_1 + [0; k_2])), & j_1 > i_2, \end{cases} \end{split}$$

and hence the so defined map $e_{\mathfrak{p}}: B_{\omega}^{\mathcal{F}_n} \to B_{\omega}^{\mathcal{F}_n}$ is an endomorphism of the semigroup $B_{\omega}^{\mathcal{F}_n}$.

By Theorem 1 of [13], for any $n \in \omega$, the semigroup $B_{\omega}^{\mathcal{F}_n}$ is isomorphic to the semigroup $\mathcal{I}_{\omega}^{n+1}(\overrightarrow{conv})$ by the mapping $\mathfrak{I}: B_{\omega}^{\mathcal{F}_n} \to \mathcal{I}_{\omega}^{n+1}$, defined by the formulae $\mathfrak{I}(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}$ and

$$(i, j, [0; k]) \Im = \begin{pmatrix} i & i+1 & \dots & i+k \\ j & j+1 & \dots & j+k \end{pmatrix}$$

This and Proposition 1 imply the following corollary.

Corollary 1. For any positive integer n and arbitrary $p \in \omega$, the map $\mathfrak{e}_{\mathfrak{p}} : \mathcal{I}_{\omega}^{n} \to \mathcal{I}_{\omega}^{n}$ defined by the formulae $(\mathbf{0})\mathfrak{e}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathbf{0}$ and

$$\begin{pmatrix} i & i+1 & \dots & i+k \\ j & j+1 & \dots & j+k \end{pmatrix} \mathfrak{e}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \begin{pmatrix} p+i & p+i+1 & \dots & p+i+k \\ p+j & p+j+1 & \dots & p+j+k \end{pmatrix}$$

is an endomorphism of the semigroup \mathcal{I}_{ω}^{n} .

Later we study endomorphisms of the semigroup $\mathcal{I}_{\omega}^{n}(conv)$.

Lemma 1. Let *n* be any positive integer and \mathfrak{a} be an arbitrary non-annihilating endomorphism of the semigroup \mathcal{I}^n_{ω} . Then $(\mathbf{0})\mathfrak{a} = \mathbf{0}$.

P r o o f. Since **0** is an idempotent of $\mathcal{I}_{\omega}^{n}(\overrightarrow{conv})$, so is the image $(\mathbf{0})\mathfrak{a}$. Suppose to the contrary that $(\mathbf{0})\mathfrak{a} = e \neq \mathbf{0}$. By Theorem 3 from [13] the image of $\mathcal{I}_{\omega}^{n}(\overrightarrow{conv})$ under endomorphism \mathfrak{a} is isomorphic to the semigroup $\mathcal{I}_{\omega}^{m}(\overrightarrow{conv})$ for some positive integer $m \leq n$. Hence the subsemigroup $(\mathcal{I}_{\omega}^{n}(\overrightarrow{conv}))\mathfrak{a}$ of $\mathcal{I}_{\omega}^{n}(\overrightarrow{conv})$ has infinitely many idempotents. But by Theorem 1 and Lemma 1 from [13] the set $\uparrow_{\preceq} e$ is finite, a contradiction. The obtained contradiction implies the equality $(\mathbf{0})\mathfrak{a} = \mathbf{0}$.

Lemma 1 implies the following corollary.

Corollary 2. Let n be any positive integer and a be an arbitrary endomorphism of the semigroup \mathcal{I}_{ω}^{n} . If $(\mathbf{0})a \neq \mathbf{0}$ then a is annihilating.

Lemma 2. Let *n* be any positive integer ≥ 2 and \mathfrak{a} be an arbitrary nonannihilating endomorphism of the semigroup $\mathcal{I}^n_{\omega}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}})$. If $\begin{pmatrix} 0\\0 \end{pmatrix}\mathfrak{a} = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0 \end{pmatrix}$ then \mathfrak{a} is the identity automorphism of $\mathcal{I}^n_{\omega}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}})$.

P r o o f . First, we shall show that the restriction of the endomorphism \mathfrak{a} onto the band $E(\mathcal{I}^n_{\omega}(\overrightarrow{conv}))$ is the identity map of $E(\mathcal{I}^n_{\omega}(\overrightarrow{conv}))$.

The definition of the natural partial order \preceq on $E(\mathcal{I}^n_{\omega}(conv))$ implies that

 $\uparrow_{\preceq} \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1\\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \cdots, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \cdots & n-1\\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & n-1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$

By Proposition 1.14.21(6) of [20] every homomorphism of inverse semigroups preserves the natural partial order, and hence $\begin{pmatrix} 0\\0 \end{pmatrix} a \leq \begin{pmatrix} 0&1\\0&1 \end{pmatrix} a$, because $\begin{pmatrix} 0\\0 \end{pmatrix} \leq \begin{pmatrix} 0&1\\0&1 \end{pmatrix}$. Also, by Proposition 4 of [13] every congruence on the semi-group $\mathcal{I}_{\omega}^{n}(\overline{\operatorname{conv}})$ is Rees, which implies that $\begin{pmatrix} 0\\0 \end{pmatrix} a \neq \begin{pmatrix} 0&1\\0&1 \end{pmatrix} a$. Hence, we obtain that $\begin{pmatrix} 0&1\\0&1 \end{pmatrix} a = \begin{pmatrix} 0&1\\0&1 \end{pmatrix}$. Similarly, by induction we get that $\begin{pmatrix} 0&1\\0&1&\cdots&k\\0&1&\cdots&k \end{pmatrix} a = \begin{pmatrix} 0&1\\0&1&\cdots&k \end{pmatrix}$ for any $k = 2, \ldots, n-1$.

The definition of the natural partial order \leq on $E(\mathcal{I}_{\omega}^{n}(\overline{conv}))$ implies that $0 \leq \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \leq \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Then the above part of the proof, Lemma 1 and Proposition 4 of [13] imply that

$$\mathbf{0} = (\mathbf{0})\mathfrak{a} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \mathfrak{a} \preceq \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mathfrak{a} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Again, by the definition of the natural partial order \leq on $E(\mathcal{I}_{\omega}^{n}(\overrightarrow{conv}))$ we have that the inequalities $\mathbf{0} \leq x \leq \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ have two solutions either $x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ or

 $x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Then Proposition 4 of [13] implies that $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \mathfrak{a} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Similar arguments and the conditions $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \mathfrak{a} \preceq \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \mathfrak{a} \preceq \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \mathfrak{a} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ imply that $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \mathfrak{a} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$. Next by induction we get that $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & k+1 \\ 1 & 2 & \cdots & k+1 \end{pmatrix} \mathfrak{a} = = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ for any $k = 2, \dots, n-1$.

We observe that the proof of the step of induction (if the equalities

$$\begin{pmatrix} p \\ p \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p \\ p \end{pmatrix} \mathfrak{a}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} p & p+1 \\ p & p+1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p & p+1 \\ p & p+1 \end{pmatrix} \mathfrak{a}, \quad \dots,$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} p & p+1 & \cdots & p+n-1 \\ p & p+1 & \cdots & p+n-1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p & p+1 & \cdots & p+n-1 \\ p & p+1 & \cdots & p+n-1 \end{pmatrix} \mathfrak{c}$$

hold for $p \le m$, then these equalities hold for p = m + 1) is similar to the above part of the proof.

Fix an arbitrary $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{I}_{\omega}^{n}(\overrightarrow{conv}) \setminus E(\mathcal{I}_{\omega}^{n}(\overrightarrow{conv}))$ with rank $\mathbf{x} = k$, k = 1, ..., n. Since \mathbf{x} is a partial convex order isomorphism of (ω, \leq) , there exist $s, t \in \omega$ such that $\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} s & s+1 & \cdots & s+k-1 \\ t & t+1 & \cdots & t+n-1 \end{pmatrix}$. Since $\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^{-1}, \mathbf{x}^{-1}\mathbf{x} \in E(\mathcal{I}_{\omega}^{n}(\overrightarrow{conv}))$, by Proposition 1.14.21(1) of [20] we have that

 $(\mathbf{x})\mathfrak{a} \cdot ((\mathbf{x})\mathfrak{a})^{-1} = (\mathbf{x})\mathfrak{a} \cdot (\mathbf{x}^{-1})\mathfrak{a} = (\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^{-1})\mathfrak{a} = \mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^{-1} =$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} s & s+1 & \cdots & s+k-1 \\ t & t+1 & \cdots & t+n-1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} s & s+1 & \cdots & s+k-1 \\ t & t+1 & \cdots & t+n-1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \\ = \begin{pmatrix} s & s+1 & \cdots & s+k-1 \\ t & t+1 & \cdots & t+n-1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t & t+1 & \cdots & t+k-1 \\ s & s+1 & \cdots & s+n-1 \end{pmatrix} = \\ = \begin{pmatrix} s & s+1 & \cdots & s+k-1 \\ s & s+1 & \cdots & s+n-1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

and

((

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{a})^{-1} \cdot (\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{a} &= (\mathbf{x}^{-1}) \mathbf{a} \cdot (\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{a} = (\mathbf{x}^{-1} \mathbf{x}) \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{x}^{-1} \mathbf{x} = \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} s & s+1 & \cdots & s+k-1 \\ t & t+1 & \cdots & t+n-1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} s & s+1 & \cdots & s+k-1 \\ t & t+1 & \cdots & t+n-1 \end{pmatrix} = \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} t & t+1 & \cdots & t+k-1 \\ s & s+1 & \cdots & s+n-1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} s & s+1 & \cdots & s+k-1 \\ t & t+1 & \cdots & t+n-1 \end{pmatrix} = \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} t & t+1 & \cdots & t+k-1 \\ t & t+1 & \cdots & t+n-1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

The above equalities imply that

$$\operatorname{dom}((\mathbf{x})\mathfrak{a}) = \operatorname{dom}((\mathbf{x})\mathfrak{a} \cdot ((\mathbf{x})\mathfrak{a})^{-1}) = \{s, \dots, s+k-1\}$$

and

$$\operatorname{ran}((\mathbf{x})\mathfrak{a}) = \operatorname{dom}(((\mathbf{x})\mathfrak{a})^{-1} \cdot (\mathbf{x})\mathfrak{a}) = \{t, \dots, t+k-1\}.$$

Since $(\mathbf{x})\mathfrak{a}$ is a partial convex order isomorphism of (ω, \leq) , we get that $(\mathbf{x})\mathfrak{a} = \begin{pmatrix} s & s+1 & \cdots & s+k-1 \\ t & t+1 & \cdots & t+n-1 \end{pmatrix}$, which completes the proof of the lemma.

To visually simplify the proof of Theorem 1, on Fig. 1, we schematically present the natural partial order on the semilattice $E(\mathcal{I}^n_{\omega}(\overrightarrow{conv}))$.



Fig. 1

For any $i_0 \in \omega$, we define the endomorphism $\mathfrak{e}_{i_0} : \mathcal{I}^n_{\omega}(\overrightarrow{conv}) \to \mathcal{I}^n_{\omega}(\overrightarrow{conv})$ in the following way

$$(\mathbf{0})\mathbf{e}_{i_0} = \mathbf{0}, \qquad {\binom{i}{j}}\mathbf{e}_{i_0} = {\binom{i+i_0}{j+i_0}}, \qquad {\binom{i-i+1}{j-j+1}}\mathbf{e}_{i_0} = {\binom{i+i_0-i+i_0+1}{j+i_0-j+i_0+1}}, \\ {\binom{i-i+1-\cdots+i+n-1}{j-j+1-\cdots+j+n-1}}\mathbf{e}_{i_0} = {\binom{i+i_0-i+1+i_0-\cdots-i+n-1+i_0}{j+i_0-j+1+i_0-\cdots-j+n-1+i_0}}.$$

Theorem 1. Let n be any positive integer ≥ 2 . For every injective endomorphism $\mathfrak{a}: \mathcal{I}^n_{\omega}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}}) \to \mathcal{I}^n_{\omega}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}})$, there exists $i_0 \in \omega$ such that $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{e}_{i_0}$.

P r o o f . By Lemma 1 we get that $(\mathbf{0})\mathfrak{a} = \mathbf{0}$. It is obvious that $\mathfrak{M} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} i & i+1 & \cdots & i+n-1 \\ j & j+1 & \cdots & j+n-1 \end{pmatrix} : i, j \in \omega \right\}$ is the set of all maximal idempotents of $E(\mathcal{I}_{\omega}^{n}(\overrightarrow{conv}))$, and moreover, every maximal chain in the semilattice $E(\mathcal{I}_{\omega}^{n}(\overrightarrow{conv}))$ contains n+1 idempotents. Hence,

$$L_0 = \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{0}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \cdots & n-1 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & n-1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

and

$$L_{1} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{0}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n \\ 1 & 2 & \cdots & n \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

are maximal chains in $E(\mathcal{I}^n_{\omega}(\overrightarrow{conv}))$. Since \mathfrak{a} is an injective endomorphism of the semigroup $\mathcal{I}_{\omega}^{n}(\overrightarrow{conv})$, Proposition 1.14.21(6) of [20] implies that the images $(L_0)\mathfrak{a}$ and $(L_1)\mathfrak{a}$ are maximal chains in $E(\mathcal{I}^n_{\omega}(\overrightarrow{conv}))$.

Put

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \cdots & n-1 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & n-1 \end{pmatrix} \mathfrak{a} = \begin{pmatrix} i_0 & i_0+1 & \cdots & i_0+n-1 \\ i_0 & i_0+1 & \cdots & i_0+n-1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{M} \, .$$

Since

r

$$\operatorname{ank} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & n-1 \\ 1 & \cdots & n-1 \end{pmatrix} = n-1, \qquad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & n-1 \\ 1 & \cdots & n-1 \end{pmatrix} \preceq \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \cdots & n-1 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & n-1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & n-1 \\ 1 & \cdots & n-1 \end{pmatrix} \preceq \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n \\ 1 & 2 & \cdots & n \end{pmatrix},$$

the definition of the natural partial order on the semilattice $E(\mathcal{I}_{\omega}^{n}(conv))$ and Proposition 1.14.21(6) of [20] imply that either

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & n-1 \\ 1 & \cdots & n-1 \end{pmatrix} \mathfrak{a} = \begin{pmatrix} i_0 & i_0+1 & \cdots & i_0+n-2 \\ i_0 & i_0+1 & \cdots & i_0+n-2 \end{pmatrix}$$

or

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & n-1 \\ 1 & \cdots & n-1 \end{pmatrix} \mathfrak{a} = \begin{pmatrix} i_0 + 1 & i_0 + 2 & \cdots & i_0 + n-1 \\ i_0 + 1 & i_0 + 2 & \cdots & i_0 + n-1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Suppose that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & n-1 \\ 1 & \cdots & n-1 \end{pmatrix} \mathfrak{a} = \begin{pmatrix} i_0 & i_0+1 & \cdots & i_0+n-2 \\ i_0 & i_0+1 & \cdots & i_0+n-2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Since $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n \\ 1 & 2 & \cdots & n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{M}$, we have that $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n \\ 1 & 2 & \cdots & n \end{pmatrix} \mathfrak{a} \in \mathfrak{M}$, and the definition of the natural partial order on the semilattice $E(\mathcal{I}_{\omega}^{n}(\overrightarrow{conv}))$ and Proposition 1.14.21(6) of [20] imply that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n \\ 1 & 2 & \cdots & n \end{pmatrix} \mathfrak{a} = \begin{pmatrix} i_0 - 1 & i_0 & \cdots & i_0 + n - 2 \\ i_0 - 1 & i_0 & \cdots & i_0 + n - 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Again, by the definition of the natural partial order on the semilattice $E(\mathcal{I}_{\omega}^{n}(\overrightarrow{conv}))$ and Proposition 1.14.21(6) of [20], we obtain that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & \cdots & n \\ 2 & \cdots & n \end{pmatrix} \mathfrak{a} = \begin{pmatrix} i_0 - 1 & i_0 & \cdots & i_0 + n - 3 \\ i_0 - 1 & i_0 & \cdots & i_0 + n - 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

because $\operatorname{rank}\begin{pmatrix} 2 & \cdots & n \\ 2 & \cdots & n \end{pmatrix} = n - 1$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & \cdots & n \\ 2 & \cdots & n \end{pmatrix} \preceq \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n \\ 1 & 2 & \cdots & n \end{pmatrix}$. Since $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & \cdots & n \\ 2 & \cdots & n \end{pmatrix} \preceq \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 & \cdots & n + 1 \\ 2 & 3 & \cdots & n + 1 \end{pmatrix}$

the above arguments imply that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 & \cdots & n+1 \\ 2 & 3 & \cdots & n+1 \end{pmatrix} \mathfrak{a} = \begin{pmatrix} i_0 - 2 & i_0 - 1 & \cdots & i_0 + n - 3 \\ i_0 - 2 & i_0 - 1 & \cdots & i_0 + n - 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} 3 & \cdots & n+1 \\ 3 & \cdots & n+1 \end{pmatrix} \mathfrak{a} = \begin{pmatrix} i_0 - 2 & i_0 - 1 & \cdots & i_0 + n - 4 \\ i_0 - 2 & i_0 - 1 & \cdots & i_0 + n - 4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Next, we extend the procedure described above step-by-step using the definition of the natural partial order on the semilattice $E(\mathcal{I}_{\omega}^{n}(\overrightarrow{conv}))$ and Proposition 1.14.21(6) of [20] and get that

$$\begin{pmatrix} i_0 + 1 & \cdots & i_0 + n - 1 \\ i_0 + 1 & \cdots & i_0 + n - 1 \end{pmatrix} \mathfrak{a} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \cdots & n - 2 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & n - 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

Since \mathfrak{a} is an injective endomorphism of the semigroup $\mathcal{I}^n_{\omega}(\overrightarrow{conv})$,

and

$$egin{array}{rll} (i_0+1 & \cdots & i_0+n-1 \ i_0+1 & \cdots & i_0+n-1 \ \end{pmatrix} \equiv (i_0+1 & i_0+2 & \cdots & i_0+n \ i_0+1 & i_0+2 & \cdots & i_0+n \ \end{pmatrix}$$

in $E(\mathcal{I}^n_{\omega}(\overrightarrow{conv}))$, we conclude that Proposition 1.14.21(6) of [20] implies that

$$egin{array}{rll} (i_0+1 & \cdots & i_0+n-1 \ i_0+1 & \cdots & i_0+n-1 \ \end{pmatrix} \mathfrak{a} \preceq egin{pmatrix} i_0 & i_0+1 & \cdots & i_0+n-1 \ i_0 & i_0+1 & \cdots & i_0+n-1 \ \end{pmatrix} \mathfrak{a}$$

and

But

is the unique idempotent of the semilattice $E(\mathcal{I}^n_{\omega}(\overrightarrow{conv}))$ which is greater than

$$\begin{pmatrix} i_0 + 1 & \cdots & i_0 + n - 1 \\ i_0 + 1 & \cdots & i_0 + n - 1 \end{pmatrix} \mathfrak{a} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \cdots & n - 2 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & n - 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

The obtained contradiction implies that

 $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & n-1 \\ 1 & \cdots & n-1 \end{pmatrix} \mathfrak{a} \neq \begin{pmatrix} i_0 & i_0+1 & \cdots & i_0+n-2 \\ i_0 & i_0+1 & \cdots & i_0+n-2 \end{pmatrix}$ and hence we get that $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & n-1 \\ 1 & \cdots & n-1 \end{pmatrix} \mathfrak{a} = \begin{pmatrix} i_0 + 1 & i_0 + 2 & \cdots & i_0 + n-1 \\ i_0 + 1 & i_0 + 2 & \cdots & i_0 + n-1 \end{pmatrix}.$ The inequality $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \cdots & n-2 \end{pmatrix} \prec \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \cdots & n-1 \end{pmatrix}$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & \cdots & n-2 \end{bmatrix} \stackrel{\checkmark}{\rightrightarrows} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & \cdots & n-1 \end{bmatrix}$$

implies that

$$egin{pmatrix} 0&1&\cdots&n-2\ 0&1&\cdots&n-1\ 0&1&\cdots&n-1 \end{pmatrix}\mathfrak{a}\preceq egin{pmatrix} 0&1&\cdots&n-1\ 0&1&\cdots&n-1\ \end{pmatrix}\mathfrak{a}$$
 ,

and hence, the definition of the natural partial order on $E(\mathcal{I}_{\omega}^{n}(\overrightarrow{conv}))$, injectivity of $\,\mathfrak{a}$, Proposition 1.14.21(6) of [20] and the equality

 $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & n-1 \\ 1 & \cdots & n-1 \end{pmatrix} \mathfrak{a} = \begin{pmatrix} i_0 + 1 & i_0 + 2 & \cdots & i_0 + n-1 \\ i_0 + 1 & i_0 + 2 & \cdots & i_0 + n-1 \end{pmatrix}$

imply that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \cdots & n-2 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & n-2 \end{pmatrix} \mathfrak{a} = \begin{pmatrix} i_0 & i_0+1 & \cdots & i_0+n-2 \\ i_0 & i_0+1 & \cdots & i_0+n-2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Again, since

and

we obtain that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n-2 \\ 1 & 2 & \cdots & n-2 \end{pmatrix} \mathfrak{a} \preceq \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n-1 \\ 1 & 2 & \cdots & n-1 \end{pmatrix} \mathfrak{c}$$

and

$$egin{pmatrix} 1&2&\cdots&n-2\ 1&2&\cdots&n-2 \end{pmatrix}\mathfrak{a}\preceqegin{pmatrix} 0&1&\cdots&n-2\ 0&1&\cdots&n-2 \end{pmatrix}\mathfrak{a}$$

The above two inequalities and the equalities

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & n-1 \\ 1 & \cdots & n-1 \end{pmatrix} \mathfrak{a} = \begin{pmatrix} i_0 + 1 & i_0 + 2 & \cdots & i_0 + n-1 \\ i_0 + 1 & i_0 + 2 & \cdots & i_0 + n-1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \cdots & n-2 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & n-2 \end{pmatrix} \mathfrak{a} = \begin{pmatrix} i_0 & i_0+1 & \cdots & i_0+n-2 \\ i_0 & i_0+1 & \cdots & i_0+n-2 \end{pmatrix}$$

imply that

$$egin{pmatrix} (1 & 2 & \cdots & n-2 \ 1 & 2 & \cdots & n-2 \end{pmatrix} \mathfrak{a} = egin{pmatrix} i_0 + 1 & i_0 + 2 & \cdots & i_0 + n-2 \ i_0 + 1 & i_0 + 2 & \cdots & i_0 + n-2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now, if we repeat the above procedure step-by-step we get the following equalities

 $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \cdots & n-1 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & n-1 \end{pmatrix} \mathfrak{a} = \begin{pmatrix} i_0 & i_0 + 1 & \cdots & i_0 + n - 1 \\ i_0 & i_0 + 1 & \cdots & i_0 + n - 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n \\ 1 & 2 & \cdots & n \end{pmatrix} \mathfrak{a} = \begin{pmatrix} i_0 + 1 & i_0 + 2 & \cdots & i_0 + n \\ i_0 + 1 & i_0 + 2 & \cdots & i_0 + n \end{pmatrix}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \cdots & n-2 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & n-2 \end{pmatrix} \mathfrak{a} = \begin{pmatrix} i_0 & i_0 + 1 & \cdots & i_0 + n - 2 \\ i_0 & i_0 + 1 & \cdots & i_0 + n - 2 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n - 1 \\ 1 & 2 & \cdots & n - 1 \end{pmatrix} \mathfrak{a} = \begin{pmatrix} i_0 + 1 & i_0 + 2 & \cdots & i_0 + n - 1 \\ i_0 + 1 & i_0 + 2 & \cdots & i_0 + n - 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathfrak{a} = \begin{pmatrix} i_0 & i_0 + 1 \\ i_0 & i_0 + 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \mathfrak{a} = \begin{pmatrix} i_0 + 1 & i_0 + 2 \\ i_0 + 1 & i_0 + 2 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathfrak{a} = \begin{pmatrix} i_0 \\ i_0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \mathfrak{a} = \begin{pmatrix} i_0 + 1 \\ i_0 + 1 \end{pmatrix}.$

Thus, we showed that the initial case of induction holds. Next we shall prove that the induction step holds: if the equalities

 $\begin{pmatrix} p & p+1 & \cdots & p+n-1 \\ p & p+1 & \cdots & p+n-1 \end{pmatrix} \mathfrak{a} = \begin{pmatrix} p+i_0 & p+i_0+1 & \cdots & p+i_0+n-1 \\ p+i_0 & p+i_0+1 & \cdots & p+i_0+n-1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} p & p+1 & \cdots & p+n-2 \\ p & p+1 & \cdots & p+n-2 \end{pmatrix} \mathfrak{a} = \begin{pmatrix} p+i_0 & p+i_0+1 & \cdots & p+i_0+n-2 \\ p+i_0 & p+i_0+1 & \cdots & p+i_0+n-2 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \hline \begin{pmatrix} p & p+1 \\ p & p+1 \end{pmatrix} \mathfrak{a} = \begin{pmatrix} p+i_0 & p+i_0+1 \\ p+i_0 & p+i_0+1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} p \\ p \end{pmatrix} \mathfrak{a} = \begin{pmatrix} p+i_0 \\ p+i_0 \end{pmatrix},$

hold for some k and all non-negative $p \le k$, then such equalities hold for p = k + 1.

By the inductive assumption, we have that

| | $\binom{k}{k}$ | k+1 k+1 | | $ \binom{k+n-1}{k+n-1} \mathfrak{a} = \binom{k+i_0}{k+i_0} $ | $\begin{array}{c} k+i_0+1\\ k+i_0+1\end{array}$ | ···· ··· | $ \begin{array}{c} k+i_0+n-1 \\ k+i_0+n-1 \end{array} $ |
|---|-----------------|--|------|---|--|-------------|--|
| | ${k \choose k}$ | $egin{array}{c} k+1 \ k+1 \end{array}$ | | $egin{array}{c} k+n-2\ k+n-2 \end{pmatrix} \mathfrak{a} = egin{pmatrix} k+i_0\ k+i_0 \end{bmatrix}$ | $\begin{array}{c} k+i_0+1\\ k+i_0+1 \end{array}$ | | $ \begin{array}{c} k+i_0+n-2\\ k+i_0+n-2 \end{array} \!$ |
| 1 | | 1 | 1. | | 41 · | 1.4. | |

Since the endomorphism \mathfrak{a} is injective, this, the inequalities

52

and

 $\begin{pmatrix} k & k+1 & \cdots & k+n-2 \\ k & k+1 & \cdots & k+n-2 \end{pmatrix} \preceq \begin{pmatrix} k & k+1 & \cdots & k+n-1 \\ k & k+1 & \cdots & k+n-1 \end{pmatrix}$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} k+1 & k+2 & \cdots & k+n-1 \\ k+1 & k+2 & \cdots & k+n-1 \end{pmatrix} \preceq \begin{pmatrix} k & k+1 & \cdots & k+n-1 \\ k & k+1 & \cdots & k+n-1 \end{pmatrix}$$

the definition of the natural partial order on the semilattice $E(\mathcal{I}_{\alpha}^{n}(\overrightarrow{conv}))$ and Proposition 1.14.21(6) of [20] imply that

 $\binom{k+1}{k+1} \ \begin{array}{c} k+2 \ \cdots \ k+n-1 \\ k+1 \ k+2 \ \cdots \ k+n-1 \\ \end{array} \\ \mathfrak{a} = \binom{k+i_0+1}{k+i_0+1} \ \begin{array}{c} k+i_0+2 \ \cdots \ k+i_0+n-1 \\ k+i_0+1 \ k+i_0+2 \ \cdots \ k+i_0+n-1 \\ \end{array} \\ .$

Again, since

 $\begin{pmatrix} k+1 & k+2 & \cdots & k+n \\ k+1 & k+2 & \cdots & k+n \end{pmatrix}$

is the unique idempotent of $E(\mathcal{I}^n_{\omega}(\overrightarrow{conv}))$ which is greater than

 $\begin{pmatrix} k+1 & k+2 & \cdots & k+n-1 \\ k+1 & k+2 & \cdots & k+n-1 \end{pmatrix}$ and is distinct from the idempotent

 $egin{pmatrix} k & k+1 & \cdots & k+n-1 \ k & k+1 & \cdots & k+n-1 \end{pmatrix},$

the definition of the natural partial order on the semilattice $E(\mathcal{I}_{\omega}^{n}(\overrightarrow{conv}))$ and Proposition 1.14.21(6) of [20] imply that

$$\begin{pmatrix} k+1 & k+2 & \cdots & k+n \\ k+1 & k+2 & \cdots & k+n \end{pmatrix} \mathfrak{a} = \begin{pmatrix} k+i_0+1 & k+i_0+2 & \cdots & k+i_0+n \\ k+i_0+1 & k+i_0+2 & \cdots & k+i_0+n \end{pmatrix}$$

Next, the equality

$$\begin{pmatrix} k+1 & k+2 & \cdots & k+n-1 \\ k+1 & k+2 & \cdots & k+n-1 \end{pmatrix} \mathfrak{a} = \begin{pmatrix} k+i_0+1 & k+i_0+2 & \cdots & k+i_0+n-1 \\ k+i_0+1 & k+i_0+2 & \cdots & k+i_0+n-1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and the above reasoning implies that

$$\begin{pmatrix} k+1 & k+2 & \cdots & k+n-2 \\ k+1 & k+2 & \cdots & k+n-2 \end{pmatrix} \mathfrak{a} = \begin{pmatrix} k+i_0+1 & k+i_0+2 & \cdots & k+i_0+n-2 \\ k+i_0+1 & k+i_0+2 & \cdots & k+i_0+n-2 \end{pmatrix},$$

and by the similar step-by-step way we obtain that the following equalities

$$\begin{pmatrix} k+1 & k+2 & \cdots & k+n \\ k+1 & k+2 & \cdots & k+n \end{pmatrix} \mathfrak{a} = \begin{pmatrix} k+i_0+1 & k+i_0+2 & \cdots & k+i_0+n \\ k+i_0+1 & k+i_0+2 & \cdots & k+i_0+n \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} k+1 & k+2 & \cdots & k+n-1 \\ k+1 & k+2 & \cdots & k+n-1 \end{pmatrix} \mathfrak{a} = \begin{pmatrix} k+i_0+1 & k+i_0+2 & \cdots & k+i_0+n-1 \\ k+i_0+1 & k+i_0+2 & \cdots & k+i_0+n-1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} k+1 & k+2 \\ k+1 & k+2 \end{pmatrix} \mathfrak{a} = \begin{pmatrix} k+i_0+1 & k+i_0+2 \\ k+i_0+1 & k+i_0+2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} k+1 \\ k+1 \end{pmatrix} \mathfrak{a} = \begin{pmatrix} k+i_0+1 \\ k+i_0+1 \end{pmatrix}$$

hold, and hence we proved the induction step.

Fix an arbitrary non-idempotent element $\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} a & a+1 & \cdots & a+m \\ b & b+1 & \cdots & b+m \end{pmatrix}$

of the semigroup $\mathcal{I}_{\omega}^{n}(conv)$, for some $a, b \in \omega$ and m = 0, 1, ..., n-1. Then

$$\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} a & a+1 & \cdots & a+m \\ a & a+1 & \cdots & a+m \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\mathbf{x}^{-1}\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} b & b+1 & \cdots & b+m \\ b & b+1 & \cdots & b+m \end{pmatrix},$$

and hence by the above part of the proof we have

$$\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^{-1})\mathbf{a} = \begin{pmatrix} i_0 + a & i_0 + a + 1 & \cdots & i_0 + a + m \\ i_0 + a & i_0 + a + 1 & \cdots & i_0 + a + m \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$(\mathbf{x}^{-1}\mathbf{x})\mathbf{a} = \begin{pmatrix} i_0 + b & i_0 + b + 1 & \cdots & i_0 + b + m \\ i_0 + b & i_0 + b + 1 & \cdots & i_0 + b + m \end{pmatrix}$$

Since $\mathcal{I}_{\omega}^{n}(\overline{conv})$ is an inverse subsemigroup of the symmetric inverse monoid \mathcal{I}_{ω} over ω , we conclude that

$$\operatorname{dom}((\mathbf{x})\mathfrak{a}) = \operatorname{dom}((\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^{-1})\mathfrak{a}) = \{i_0 + a, i_0 + a + 1, \dots, i_0 + a + m\}$$

and

$$\operatorname{ran}((\mathbf{x})\mathfrak{a}) = \operatorname{ran}((\mathbf{x}^{-1}\mathbf{x})\mathfrak{a}) = \{i_0 + b, i_0 + b + 1, \dots, i_0 + b + m\}$$

Now, the definition of the semigroup $\mathcal{I}_{\omega}^{n}(\overrightarrow{conv})$ implies that

$$(\mathbf{x})\mathfrak{a} = \begin{pmatrix} i_0 + a & i_0 + a + 1 & \cdots & i_0 + a + m \\ i_0 + b & i_0 + b + 1 & \cdots & i_0 + b + m \end{pmatrix}.$$

By Corollary 1, $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{e}_{i_0}$ is an endomorphism of the semigroup $\mathcal{I}^n_{\omega}(conv)$, which completes the proof of the theorem.

Lemma 2 and Theorem 1 imply

Corollary 3. For any positive integer $n \ge 2$, every automorphism of the semigroup $\mathcal{I}^n_{\omega}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}})$ is the identity map of $\mathcal{I}^n_{\omega}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}})$.

For any positive integer n and any injective endomorphisms \mathfrak{e}_{i_1} and \mathfrak{e}_{i_2} of the semigroup $\mathcal{I}^n_{\omega}(\overrightarrow{conv})$, we get by simple calculations that

 $\mathfrak{e}_{i_1} \circ \mathfrak{e}_{i_2} = \mathfrak{e}_{i_1+i_2} = \mathfrak{e}_{i_2} \circ \mathfrak{e}_{i_1}.$

This and Theorem 1 imply

Theorem 2. For any positive integer $n \ge 2$, the semigroup of injective endomorphisms of the semigroup $\mathcal{I}^n_{\omega}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}})$ is isomorphic to the semigroup $(\omega, +)$. In particular, the group of automorphisms of $\mathcal{I}^n_{\omega}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}})$ is trivial.

Since by Theorem 3 of [13], for any $n \in \omega$, the semigroup $B_{\omega}^{\mathcal{F}_n}$ is isomorphic to the semigroup $\mathcal{I}_{\omega}^{n+1}(\overrightarrow{conv})$, Corollary 3 and Theorem 2 imply the following two corollaries.

Corollary 4. For any positive integer n, every automorphism of the semigroup $\mathbf{B}_{\omega}^{\mathcal{F}_n}$ is the identity map of $\mathbf{B}_{\omega}^{\mathcal{F}_n}$.

Corollary 5. For any positive integer n, the semigroup of injective endomorphisms of the semigroup $\mathbf{B}_{\omega}^{\mathcal{F}_n}$ is isomorphic to the semigroup $(\omega, +)$. In particular, the group of automorphisms of $\mathbf{B}_{\omega}^{\mathcal{F}_n}$ is trivial.

3. On endomorphisms of the semigroup of $\lambda\times\lambda$ -matrix units.

Let λ be a non-zero cardinal and $\mathbf{0} \notin \lambda \times \lambda$. The set $\mathcal{B}_{\lambda} = \lambda \times \lambda \bigcup {\mathbf{0}}$ with the following semigroup operation

$$(a,b) \cdot (c,d) = \begin{cases} (a,d), & b = c, \\ \mathbf{0}, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and $(a, b) \cdot \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0} \cdot (a, b) = \mathbf{0} \cdot \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}$, for all $a, b, c, d \in \lambda$, is called the semigroup of $\lambda \times \lambda$ -matrix units [9]. It is well known that \mathcal{B}_{λ} is a combinatorial, congruence-free, primitive, completely 0-simple inverse semigroup [20, 24], and moreover, \mathcal{B}_{λ} is isomorphic to the semigroup $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda}^{1}$. By Proposition 4 of [3] the semigroup $\mathbf{B}_{\omega}^{\mathcal{F}}$ is isomorphic to the semigroup of $\omega \times \omega$ -matrix units \mathbf{B}_{ω} if and only if $\mathcal{F} = \{F, \emptyset\}$, where F is a singleton subset of ω .

For a non-zero cardinal λ , by S_{λ} we denote the group of bijective transformations of λ and by \mathcal{IT}_{λ} we denote the semigroup of injective transformation of λ .

Theorem 3. The semigroup $\mathfrak{End}^{\operatorname{inj}}(\mathcal{B}_{\lambda})$ of injective endomorphisms of \mathcal{B}_{λ} is isomorphic to \mathcal{IT}_{λ} , and moreover, the group $\mathfrak{Aut}(\mathcal{B}_{\lambda})$ of automorphisms of \mathcal{B}_{λ} is isomorphic to \mathcal{S}_{λ} .

Proof. Let \mathfrak{e} be an injective endomorphism of \mathcal{B}_{λ} . Then $(\mathbf{0})\mathfrak{e} = \mathbf{0}$ and the restriction of \mathfrak{e} onto $E(\mathcal{B}_{\lambda}) \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ is an injective, i.e., there exists an injective transformation $\mathfrak{i}_{\mathfrak{e}} : \lambda \to \lambda$ such that $(a, a)\mathfrak{e} = ((a)\mathfrak{i}_{\mathfrak{e}}, (a)\mathfrak{i}_{\mathfrak{e}})$ for any $a \in \lambda$. It is obvious that $\mathfrak{i}_{\mathfrak{e}} \in \mathcal{IT}_{\lambda}$. Since the composition $\mathfrak{e}_1 \circ \mathfrak{e}_2$ of two injective endomorphisms \mathfrak{e}_1 and \mathfrak{e}_2 of \mathcal{B}_{λ} is an injective endomorphism,

$$(a,a)(\mathfrak{e}_1 \circ \mathfrak{e}_2) = ((a)\mathfrak{i}_{\mathfrak{e}_1}, (a)\mathfrak{i}_{\mathfrak{e}_1})\mathfrak{e}_2 = (((a)\mathfrak{i}_{\mathfrak{e}_1})\mathfrak{i}_{\mathfrak{e}_2}, ((a)\mathfrak{i}_{\mathfrak{e}_1})\mathfrak{i}_{\mathfrak{e}_2})$$

and hence $\mathfrak{i}_{\mathfrak{e}_1 \circ \mathfrak{e}_2} = \mathfrak{i}_{\mathfrak{e}_1} \circ \mathfrak{i}_{\mathfrak{e}_2}$ is an injective map of λ . This implies that the so defined map $\mathfrak{J} : \mathfrak{End}^{\mathrm{inj}}(\mathcal{B}_{\lambda}) \to \mathcal{IT}_{\lambda}$, $\mathfrak{e} \mapsto \mathfrak{i}_{\mathfrak{e}}$ is a homomorphism. Next, we shall show that the homomorphism \mathfrak{J} is surjective. Fix an arbitrary injective map $\mathfrak{i} : \lambda \to \lambda$. We claim that the mapping $\mathfrak{e}_{\mathfrak{i}} : \mathcal{B}_{\lambda} \to \mathcal{B}_{\lambda}$ given by the formulae

$$(a,b)\mathfrak{e}_{\mathfrak{i}} = ((a)\mathfrak{i},(b)\mathfrak{i}) \quad \text{for all} \quad a,b \in \lambda; \qquad (\mathbf{0})\mathfrak{e}_{\mathfrak{i}} = \mathbf{0}$$

is an injective endomorphism of the semigroup \mathcal{B}_{λ} . Indeed, since the mapping $i: \lambda \to \lambda$ is injective,

$$(a,b)\mathfrak{e}_{i} \cdot (c,d)\mathfrak{e}_{i} = ((a)\mathfrak{i},(b)\mathfrak{i}) \cdot ((c)\mathfrak{i},(d)\mathfrak{i}) = \begin{cases} ((a)\mathfrak{i},(d)\mathfrak{i}), & \text{if } (b)\mathfrak{i} = (c)\mathfrak{i}, \\ \mathbf{0}, & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases} = \\ = \begin{cases} (a,d)e_{i}, & \text{if } b = c, \\ \mathbf{0}, & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases} = ((a,b) \times (c,d))e_{i} \end{cases}$$

and

$$(a,b)\mathbf{e}_{i} \cdot (\mathbf{0})\mathbf{e}_{i} = (a,b)\mathbf{e}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0} = (\mathbf{0})\mathbf{e}_{i} = ((a,b) \cdot \mathbf{0})\mathbf{e}_{i},$$

$$(\mathbf{0})\mathbf{e}_{i} \cdot (a,b)\mathbf{e}_{i} = \mathbf{0} \cdot (a,b)\mathbf{e}_{i} = \mathbf{0} = (\mathbf{0})\mathbf{e}_{i} = (\mathbf{0} \cdot (a,b))\mathbf{e}_{i},$$

$$(\mathbf{0})\mathbf{e}_{i} \cdot (\mathbf{0})\mathbf{e}_{i} = \mathbf{0} \cdot \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0} = (\mathbf{0})\mathbf{e}_{i} = (\mathbf{0} \cdot \mathbf{0})\mathbf{e}_{i},$$

and hence, \mathfrak{e}_i is an endomorphism of \mathcal{B}_{λ} . It is obvious that the injectivity of \mathfrak{i} implies that the endomorphism \mathfrak{e}_i is injective, too.

Simple verifications show that if \mathfrak{e} is an automorphism of \mathcal{B}_{λ} , then the mapping $\mathfrak{i}_{\mathfrak{e}} : \lambda \to \lambda$ is bijective, and the bijectivity of the mapping $\mathfrak{i} : \lambda \to \lambda$ implies that $\mathfrak{e}_{\mathfrak{i}}$ is an automorphism of \mathcal{B}_{λ} . This completes the proof of the last statement.

Recall [9], a semigroup S is said to be *left* (*right*) cancellative if for all $a, b, c \in S$, the equality ab = ac (ba = ca) implies b = c. We remark that

simple verifications show that the semigroup \mathcal{IT}_{λ} (and hence, $\mathfrak{End}^{\mathrm{inj}}(\mathcal{B}_{\lambda})$) is left cancellative, but \mathcal{IT}_{λ} is not right cancellative.

It is well known that the semigroup \mathcal{B}_{λ} of $\lambda \times \lambda$ -matrix units is congruence-free, i.e., \mathcal{B}_{λ} has only two congruencies: the identity and the universal congruence. This implies that every endomorphism of \mathcal{B}_{λ} is either injective (i.e., is an isomorphism "into") or annihilating.

By $\mathfrak{End}^{\mathrm{ann}}(\mathcal{B}_{\lambda})$ we denote the semigroup of all annihilating endomorphisms of \mathcal{B}_{λ} . It is obvious that, for every annihilating endomorphism \mathfrak{a} of \mathcal{B}_{λ} , there exits an idempotent $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{B}_{\lambda}$ such that $(y)\mathfrak{a} = \mathbf{x}$ for all $y \in \mathcal{B}_{\lambda}$, and later we denote such endomorphism by \mathfrak{a}_{x} . This implies that

 $\mathfrak{End}^{\mathrm{ann}}(\mathcal{B}_{\lambda}) = \{\mathfrak{a}_0\} \bigcup \{\mathfrak{a}_{(\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{a})} : a \in \lambda\}.$

It is obvious that $\mathfrak{End}^{\mathrm{ann}}(\mathcal{B}_{\lambda})$ is a right zero semigroup, $\mathfrak{End}^{\mathrm{ann}}(\mathcal{B}_{\lambda})$ is left simple and hence it is simple.

For any $\mathfrak{e} \in \mathfrak{End}^{\operatorname{inj}}(\mathcal{B}_{\lambda})$ and $\mathfrak{a}_x \in \mathfrak{End}^{\operatorname{ann}}(\mathcal{B}_{\lambda})$, we have that $\mathfrak{e} \circ \mathfrak{a}_x = \mathfrak{a}_x$ and $\mathfrak{a}_x \circ \mathfrak{e} = \mathfrak{a}_{(x)\mathfrak{e}}$.

We summarize the above reasoning in the following

Theorem 4. The semigroup $\mathfrak{End}(\mathcal{B}_{\lambda})$ of all endomorphisms of the semigroup of $\lambda \times \lambda$ -matrix units \mathcal{B}_{λ} is the union of the semigroups $\mathfrak{End}^{\operatorname{inj}}(\mathcal{B}_{\lambda})$ and $\mathfrak{End}^{\operatorname{ann}}(\mathcal{B}_{\lambda})$. Moreover, $\mathfrak{End}^{\operatorname{inj}}(\mathcal{B}_{\lambda})$ is a left cancellative semigroup and $\mathfrak{End}^{\operatorname{ann}}(\mathcal{B}_{\lambda})$ is the minimal ideal of $\mathfrak{End}(\mathcal{B}_{\lambda})$ which is a right zero semigroup.

- 1. Айзенштат А. Я. Определяющие соотношения полугруппы эндоморфизмов конечного линейно упорядоченного множества // Сиб. мат. журн. 1962. **3**, № 2. С. 161–169.
- 2. Вагнер В. В. Обобщенные группы // Докл. АН СССР. 1952. **84**, No. 6. С. 1119–1122.
- Гутік О., Михаленич М. Про одне узагальнення біциклічного моноїда // Вісн. Львів. ун-ту. Сер. мех.-мат. – 2020. – Вип. 90. – С. 5–19. – http://doi.org/10.30970/vmm.2020.90.005-019.
- 4. Гутік О., Прохоренкова О., Сех Д. Про ендоморфізми біциклічної напівгрупи та розширеної біциклічної напівгрупи // Вісн. Львів. ун-ту. Сер. мех.-мат. 2021. Вип. 92. С. 5–16. (arXiv:2202.00073).
- Жучок Ю. В. Полугруппы эндоморфизмов 2-нильпотентных бинарных отношений // Фундам. и прикл. математика. – 2008. – 14, № 6. – С. 75–83. Translation: Zhuchok Yu. V. Endomorphism semigroups of 2-nilpotent binary relations // J. Math. Sci. – 2010. – 164, No. 1. – P. 49–55.
 - https://doi.org/10.1007/s10958-009-9735-1.
- Попова Л. М. Об одной полугруппе частичных эндоморфизмов множества с отношением // Уч. зап. Ленингр. гос. пед. ин-та им. А. И. Герцена. – 1962. – 238. – С. 49–77.
- Araujo J., Fernandes V. H., Jesus M. M., Maltcev V., Mitchell J. D. Automorphisms of partial endomorphism semigroups // Publ. Math. Debrecen. 2011. -79, No. 1-2. - P. 23-39. - https://doi.org/10.5486/PMD.2011.4703.
- Bardyla S. On topological McAlister semigroups // Preprint. 2021. - (arXiv:2103.03301).
- 9. Clifford A. H., Preston G. B. The algebraic theory of semigroups. Providence: Amer. Math. Soc., 1961. - Vol. 1.- xv+224 p.
- Clifford A. H., Preston G. B. The algebraic theory of semigroups. Providence: Amer. Math. Soc., 1967. - Vol. 2. - xv+352 p.
- 11. *Gutik O. V., Reiter A. R.* Symmetric inverse topological semigroups of finite rank ≤ *n* // Мат. методи та фіз.-мех. поля. – 2009. – **52**, No. 3. – Р. 7–14.

Gutik O. V., Reiter A. R. Symmetric inverse topological semigroups of finite rank $\leq n$ // J. Math. Sci. - 2010. - 171, No. 4. - P. 425-432.

- 12. Gutik O., Lysetska O. On the semigroup $B_{\omega}^{\mathcal{F}}$ which is generated by the family \mathcal{F} of singletons of ω // Preprint. 2021.
- 13. Gutik O., Popadiuk O. On the semigroup $\mathbf{B}_{\omega}^{\mathcal{F}_n}$ which is generated by the family \mathcal{F}_n of finite bounded intervals ω // Preprint. = 2022. = (arXiv: 2208.09155).
- 14. Gutik O., Pozdniakova I. On the group of automorphisms of the semigroup $B_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}$ with the family \mathcal{F} of inductive nonempty subsets of ω // Preprint. 2022 (arXiv:2206.12819).
- 15. Harzheim E. Ordered sets. New-York: Springer, 2005. xii+386 p.
- Fernandes V. H., Jesus M. M., Maltcev V., Mitchell J. D. Endomorphisms of the semigroup of order-preserving mappings // Semigroup Forum. - 2010. - 81, No. 2. - P. 277-285. - https://doi.org/10.1007/s00233-010-9220-7.
- 17. Fernandes V. H., Santos P. G. Endomorphisms of semigroups of order-preserving partial transformations // Semigroup Forum. 2019. 99, No. 2. P. 333-344. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00233-018-9948-z.
- Fitzpatrick S. P., Symons J. S. V. Automorphisms of transformation semigroups // Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. - 1975. - 19, No. 4. - P. 327-329. - https://doi.org/10.1017/S0013091500010427.
- 19. Lavers T., Solomon A. The endomorphisms of a finite chain form a Rees congruence semigroup // Semigroup Forum. 1999. 59, No. 2. P. 167-170.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/PL00006004.
- 20. Lawson M. V. Inverse semigroups. The theory of partial symmetries. Singapore: World Sci., 1998. 428 p.
- 21. Levi I., O'Meara K. C., Wood G. R. Automorphisms of Croisot Teissier semigroups // J. Algebra. – 1986. – 101, No. 1. – P. 190–245. – https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-8693(86)90107-9.
- 22. Magill K. D, Jr. Automorphisms of the semigroup of all relations on a set // Can. Math. Bull. - 1966. - 9, No. 1. - P. 73-77. - https://doi.org/10.4153/CMB-1966-009-7.
- 23. Mazorchuk V. Endomorphisms of B_n, PB_n, and C_n // Commun. Algebra. 2002.
 30, No. 7. P. 3489-3513. https://doi.org/10.1081/AGB-120004500.
- 24. Petrich M. Inverse semigroups. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1984. 674 p.
- 25. Schein B. M., Teclezghi B. Endomorphisms of finite full transformation semigroups // Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. - 1998. - 126, No. 9. - P. 2579-2587. - https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-98-04764-9.
- 26. Schein B. M., Teclezghi B. Endomorphisms of finite symmetric inverse semigroups // J. Algebra. 1997. 198, No. 1. P. 300-310. https://doi.org/10.1006/jabr.1997.7132.
- Sullivan R. P. Automorphisms of transformation semigroups // J. Austral. Math. Soc. - 1975. - 20, No. 1. - P. 77-84.
 - https://doi.org/10.1017/S144678870002396X.

ПРО НАПІВГРУПУ ІН'ЄКТИВНИХ ЕНДОМОРФІЗМІВ НАПІВГРУПИ $B^{\mathcal{F}_n}_{\omega}$, ПОРОДЖЕНУ СІМ'ЄЮ \mathcal{F}_n ПОЧАТКОВИХ СКІНЧЕННИХ ІНТЕРВАЛІВ У ω

Описано ін'єктивні ендоморфізми інверсної напівгрупи $\mathbf{B}_{\omega}^{\mathcal{F}}$, яку введено в статті [3], у випадку коли сім'я \mathcal{F}_n породжена множиною $\{0,1,\ldots,n\}$. Зокрема, показано, що напівгрупа ін'єктивних ендоморфізмів напівгрупи $\mathbf{B}_{\omega}^{\mathcal{F}}$ є ізоморфною напівгрупі $(\omega, +)$. Також описано структуру напівгрупи $\mathfrak{End}(\mathcal{B}_{\lambda})$ усіх ендоморфізмів напівгрупи $\lambda \times \lambda$ -матричних одиниць \mathcal{B}_{λ} .

Ключові слова: біциклічне розширення, інверсна напівгрупа, ендоморфізм, автоморфізм, напівгрупа λ × λ матричних одиниць.

Ivan Franko National University of Lviv, Lviv

Received 21.01.22