

ON BRANDT λ^0 -EXTENSIONS OF SEMIGROUPS WITH ZERO

We introduce the Brandt λ^0 -extension $B_\lambda^0(S)$ of a semigroup S with zero and establish some algebraic properties of the semigroup $B_\lambda^0(S)$ with respect to the semigroup S . Also we introduce the topological Brandt λ^0 -extension of a topological semigroup S with zero and study its topological properties with respect to the topological semigroup S . In particular we show that any topological Brandt λ^0 -extension of an (absolutely) H -closed topological inverse semigroup S is (absolutely) H -closed in the class of topological inverse semigroups. Also we construct topologies on $B_\lambda^0(S)$ which preserve the absolute H -closedness and H -closedness.

Using the construction of topological Brandt λ^0 -extensions of topological semigroups we give an example of absolutely H -closed metrizable inverse topological semigroup S with an absolutely H -closed ideal I such that S/I is not a topological semigroup.

Introductions and preliminaries. In this paper all spaces are Hausdorff. A *topological (inverse) semigroup* is a topological space together with a continuous multiplication (and an inversion, respectively). Further we follow the terminology of [1, 2, 4]. If S is a semigroup, then by $E(S)$ we denote the band (the subset of idempotents) of S , and by S^1 [S^0] we denote the semigroup S with the adjoined unit [zero] (see [2]). By ω we denote the first infinite ordinal. If Y is a subspace of a topological space X and $A \subseteq Y$, then by $\text{cl}_Y(A)$ we denote the topological closure of A in Y .

Let S be a semigroup with zero and I_λ be a set of cardinality $\lambda \geq 2$. On the set $B_\lambda(S) = I_\lambda \times S \times I_\lambda \cup \{0\}$ we define the semigroup operation as follows

$$(\alpha, a, \beta) \cdot (\gamma, b, \delta) = \begin{cases} (\alpha, ab, \delta), & \text{if } \beta = \gamma, \\ 0, & \text{if } \beta \neq \gamma, \end{cases}$$

and $(\alpha, a, \beta) \cdot 0 = 0 \cdot (\alpha, a, \beta) = 0 \cdot 0 = 0$, for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in I_\lambda$ and $a, b \in S$. If $S = S^1$ then the semigroup $B_\lambda(S)$ is called *the Brandt λ -extension of the semigroup S* [7]. Obviously, $\mathcal{J} = \{0\} \cup \{(\alpha, 0_S, \beta) \mid 0_S \text{ is the zero of } S\}$ is an ideal of $B_\lambda(S)$. We put $B_\lambda^0(S) = B_\lambda(S) / \mathcal{J}$ and we shall call $B_\lambda^0(S)$ *the Brandt λ^0 -extension of the semigroup S with zero*. Further, if $A \subseteq S$ then we shall denote $A_{\alpha\beta} = \{(\alpha, s, \beta) \mid s \in A\}$ if A does not contain zero, and $A_{\alpha\beta} = \{(\alpha, s, \beta) \mid s \in A \setminus \{0\}\} \cup \{0\}$ if $0 \in A$, for $\alpha, \beta \in I_\lambda$. If \mathcal{I} is a trivial semigroup (i.e. \mathcal{I} contains only one element), then by \mathcal{I}^0 we denote the semigroup \mathcal{I} with the adjoined zero. Obviously, for any $\lambda \geq 2$ the Brandt λ^0 -extension of the semigroup \mathcal{I}^0 is isomorphic to the semigroup of $I_\lambda \times I_\lambda$ -matrix units and any Brandt λ^0 -extension of a semigroup with zero contains the semigroup of $I_\lambda \times I_\lambda$ -matrix units. Further by B_λ we shall denote the semigroup of $I_\lambda \times I_\lambda$ -matrix units and by $B_\lambda^0(1)$ the subsemigroup of $I_\lambda \times I_\lambda$ -matrix units of the Brandt λ^0 -extension of a monoid S with zero.

In our paper we establish some algebraic properties of the semigroup $B_\lambda^0(S)$ with respect to a semigroup S . Also we introduce a topological Brandt λ^0 -extension of a topological semigroup S with zero and study its topological properties with respect to the topological semigroup S . In particular, we show that any topological Brandt λ^0 -extension of an (absolutely) H -closed topological inverse semigroup S is (absolutely) H -closed in the class of topological inverse semigroups. Also we construct topologies on $B_\lambda^0(S)$ which preserve the absolute H -closedness and H -closedness. Using the construction of topological Brandt λ^0 -extensions of topological semigroups we give an example of an absolutely H -closed metrizable inverse topological semigroup S with an absolutely H -closed ideal I such that S/I is not a topological semigroup.

1. Algebraic properties of $B_\lambda^0(S)$. This section contains algebraic properties of the semigroup $B_\lambda^0(S)$ with respect to the semigroup S . We remark that a non-zero element (α, e, β) of the semigroup $B_\lambda^0(S)$ is idempotent if and only if e is an idempotent in S and $\alpha = \beta$. Obviously, a non-zero idempotent (α, e, α) of the semigroup $B_\lambda^0(S)$ is primitive if and only if e is a primitive idempotent of S .

Proposition 1. *Let S be a semigroup with zero. Then the following conclusions hold:*

- (i) S is regular if and only if $B_\lambda^0(S)$ is regular;
- (ii) S is orthodox if and only if $B_\lambda^0(S)$ is orthodox;
- (iii) S is inverse if and only if $B_\lambda^0(S)$ is inverse;
- (iv) S is 0-simple if and only if $B_\lambda^0(S)$ is 0-simple;
- (v) S is completely 0-simple if and only if $B_\lambda^0(S)$ is completely 0-simple.

P r o o f. Statement (i) follows from the fact that an element (α, x, β) of $B_\lambda^0(S)$ is regular if and only if x is regular in S .

(ii) If T is a subsemigroup of S , then $B_\lambda^0(T)$ as a subset of $B_\lambda^0(S)$ is a subsemigroup of $B_\lambda^0(S)$. Therefore if S is an orthodox semigroup then so is $B_\lambda^0(S)$. Conversely, suppose that $B_\lambda^0(S)$ be an orthodox semigroup and e and f are idempotents of S . Then the element $(\alpha, e, \alpha)(\alpha, f, \alpha) = (\alpha, ef, \alpha)$ is a non-zero idempotent of $B_\lambda^0(S)$ if $ef \neq 0$. Therefore ef is an idempotent of S and the semigroup S is orthodox.

Statement (iii) follows from the fact that the idempotents of the semigroup S commute if and only if the idempotents of the semigroup $B_\lambda^0(S)$ commute.

(iv) Suppose the contrary, i.e. there exists a 0-simple semigroup such that the semigroup $B_\lambda^0(S)$ contains a non-zero proper ideal I . Then there exist $\alpha, \beta \in I_\lambda$ and a non-empty subset $A \neq \{0\}$ of S such that $A_{\alpha\beta} \subseteq I$. Since $S_{\alpha\alpha}I \subseteq I$ and $S_{\alpha\alpha}A_{\alpha\beta} \subseteq S_{\alpha\beta}$, we have $S_{\alpha\alpha}A_{\alpha\beta} \subseteq A_{\alpha\beta}$. Therefore, A is a non-zero proper ideal of S and we obtain a contradiction. Since $B_\lambda^0(J)$ is a non-

zero proper ideal in $B_\lambda^0(S)$ where J is a non-zero proper ideal of S , we get that if the semigroup $B_\lambda^0(S)$ is 0-simple then so is S .

Since every completely 0-simple semigroup contains a primitive idempotent, statement (iv) implies (v). \diamond

A semigroup homomorphism $h : S \rightarrow T$ is called *annihilating* if there exists $c \in T$ such that $h(a) = c$ for all $a \in S$.

A semigroup S is called *congruence-free* if it has only two congruences: identical and universal [11]. Obviously, a semigroup S is congruence-free if and only if any homomorphism h of S into an arbitrary semigroup T is an isomorphism «into» or is annihilating.

Theorem 1. *A semigroup S with zero is congruence-free if and only if $B_\lambda^0(S)$ is congruence-free for all $\lambda \geq 2$.*

P r o o f. (\Rightarrow) Suppose the contrary, i.e. let there exists a congruence-free semigroup S with zero such that the semigroup $B_\lambda^0(S)$ is not congruence-free for some $\lambda \geq 2$. Then there exists a semigroup homomorphism $g : B_\lambda^0(S) \rightarrow T$ into a semigroup T which is neither an isomorphism nor annihilating. Therefore there exist $x, y \in B_\lambda^0(S)$ such that $x \neq y$ and $g(x) = g(y)$. We consider the following cases.

1°. Let $x = 0$, $y = (\alpha, s, \beta)$ for some $s \in S \setminus \{0\}$ and $\alpha, \beta \in I_\lambda$. Let (γ, t, δ) be any nonzero element of $B_\lambda^0(S)$. Since the semigroup S is congruence-free and hence is 0-simple, there exist $a, b \in S \setminus \{0\}$ such that $t = asb$ and therefore we get $g((\gamma, t, \delta)) = g((\gamma, a, \alpha) \cdot (\alpha, s, \beta) \cdot (\beta, b, \delta)) = g((\gamma, a, \alpha)) \cdot g((\alpha, s, \beta)) \cdot g((\beta, b, \delta)) = g((\gamma, a, \alpha)) \cdot g(0) \cdot g((\beta, b, \delta)) = g((\gamma, a, \alpha)) \cdot 0 \cdot g((\beta, b, \delta)) = g(0)$ for any nonzero element (γ, t, δ) of $B_\lambda^0(S)$.

2°. Let $x = (\alpha, s, \beta)$, $y = (\alpha, t, \beta)$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in I_\lambda$ and $s, t \in S \setminus \{0\}$ such that $s \neq t$. Since the semigroup S is congruence-free, the restriction homomorphism $g|_S : S \rightarrow T$ is annihilating and therefore $g(x) = g(y) = g(0)$. Then case 1° implies that g is an annihilating homomorphism.

3°. Let $x = (\alpha, s, \beta)$, $y = (\gamma, t, \delta)$ for some $s, t \in S \setminus \{0\}$ and $\alpha, \beta \in I_\lambda$ such that $\alpha \neq \gamma$ or $\beta \neq \delta$. Since the semigroup S is 0-simple, there exist $a, b \in S \setminus \{0\}$ such that $s = atb$ and we have $g((\alpha, s, \beta)) = g((\alpha, atb, \beta)) = g((\alpha, a, \gamma) \cdot (\gamma, t, \delta) \cdot (\delta, b, \beta)) = g((\alpha, a, \gamma)) \cdot g((\gamma, t, \delta)) \cdot g((\delta, b, \beta)) = g((\alpha, a, \gamma)) \cdot g((\alpha, s, \beta)) \cdot g((\delta, b, \beta)) = g((\alpha, a, \gamma) \cdot (\alpha, s, \beta) \cdot (\delta, b, \beta)) = g(0)$. Therefore by case 1° the homomorphism g is annihilating. We thus showed that in all three cases 1°–3° the homomorphism g is annihilating. The derived contradiction shows, that $B_\lambda^0(S)$ is congruence-free and justifies the implication.

(\Leftarrow) Suppose there exists a non-congruence-free semigroup S with zero such that $B_\lambda^0(S)$ is congruence-free semigroup for some $\lambda \geq 2$. Then there exists a semigroup T with zero and a surjective homomorphism $h : S \rightarrow T$ such that $h(s) = h(t)$ for some different $s, t \in S$. We extend the homomorphism h up to homomorphism $\tilde{h} : B_\lambda^0(S) \rightarrow B_\lambda^0(T)$ by the formulae $\tilde{h}((\alpha, s, \beta)) = (\alpha, h(s), \beta)$ and $\tilde{h}(0_S) = 0_T$, where 0_S and 0_T are the zeros of the semigroups $B_\lambda^0(S)$ and $B_\lambda^0(T)$, respectively. Therefore, we get $\tilde{h}((\alpha, s, \beta)) = \tilde{h}((\alpha, t, \beta))$, which contradicts the assumption that the semigroup $B_\lambda^0(S)$ is congruence-

free. The obtained contradiction implies that S is a congruence-free semigroup. \diamond

Proposition 2. *Let S be a semigroup with zero. Let $h : B_\lambda^0(S) \rightarrow T$ be a homomorphism such that $h((\alpha, x, \beta)) = h(0)$ for some $x \in S$, $\alpha, \beta \in I_\lambda$. Then $h((\gamma, y, \delta)) = h(0)$ for all $y \in SxS$, $\gamma, \delta \in I_\lambda$.*

P r o o f. Assume that $y \in SxS$. Then $y = axb$ for some $a, b \in S$. Therefore $h((\gamma, y, \delta)) = h((\gamma, a, \alpha) \cdot (\alpha, x, \beta) \cdot (\beta, b, \delta)) = h((\gamma, a, \alpha)) \cdot h((\alpha, x, \beta)) \cdot h((\beta, b, \delta)) = h((\gamma, a, \alpha)) \cdot h(0) \cdot h((\beta, b, \delta)) = h((\gamma, a, \alpha)) \cdot 0 \cdot h((\beta, b, \delta)) = h(0)$. \diamond

Corollary 1. *Let S be a monoid with zero. A homomorphism $h : B_\lambda^0(S) \rightarrow T$ is annihilating if and only if the homomorphism $h|_{B_\lambda} : B_\lambda = B_\lambda^0(1) \rightarrow T$ is annihilating.*

Proposition 3. *Let S be a monoid with zero. Let $h : B_\lambda^0(S) \rightarrow T$ be a homomorphism and $h((\alpha_1, a, \beta_1)) = h((\alpha_2, b, \beta_2))$ for some $a, b \in S$, $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2 \in I_\lambda$. If $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2$ or $\beta_1 \neq \beta_2$ then $h((\alpha_1, a, \beta_1)) = h(0)$.*

P r o o f. Assume that $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2$. Then $h((\alpha_1, a, \beta_1)) = h((\alpha_1, 1, \alpha_1)(\alpha_1, a, \beta_1)) = h((\alpha_1, 1, \alpha_1)) \cdot h((\alpha_1, a, \beta_1)) = h((\alpha_1, 1, \alpha_1)) \cdot h((\alpha_2, b, \beta_2)) = h((\alpha_1, 1, \alpha_1)) \cdot h((\alpha_2, b, \beta_2)) = h(0)$. The proof of the case $\beta_1 \neq \beta_2$ is similar. \diamond

Proposition 4. *Let $\lambda \geq 2$, S be a monoid with zero and T be a semigroup. Let $h : B_\lambda^0(S) \rightarrow T$ be a homomorphism, A and B be disjoint subsets of $h(B_\lambda^0(S))$. If the sets A and B intersect at least two different subsets of the type $h(S_{\alpha\beta})$, $\alpha, \beta \in I_\lambda$, then $h(0) \in A \cdot B$ or $h(0) \in B \cdot A$.*

P r o o f. The cases $h(0) \in A$, or $h(0) \in B$ are trivial. Otherwise, for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ we fix $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in I_\lambda$ such that $A \cap h(S_{\alpha_1\beta_1}) \neq \emptyset$, $A \cap h(S_{\alpha_2\beta_2}) \neq \emptyset$, $B \cap h(S_{\alpha_3\beta_3}) \neq \emptyset$ and $B \cap h(S_{\alpha_4\beta_4}) \neq \emptyset$. By Proposition 3 the sets $h(S_{\alpha_1\beta_1}) \setminus h(0)$ and $h(S_{\alpha_2\beta_2}) \setminus h(0)$ are disjoint in $h(B_\lambda^0(S))$, hence $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2$ or $\beta_1 \neq \beta_2$. Let x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 be elements of the semigroup S such that $h((\alpha_1, x_1, \beta_1))$, $h((\alpha_2, x_2, \beta_2)) \in A$ and $h((\alpha_3, x_3, \beta_3))$, $h((\alpha_4, x_4, \beta_4)) \in B$. If $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2$, then $\alpha_1 \neq \beta_3$ or $\alpha_2 \neq \beta_3$, and hence $h(0) = h((\alpha_3, x_3, \beta_3) \cdot (\alpha_1, x_1, \beta_1)) = h((\alpha_3, x_3, \beta_3)) \cdot h((\alpha_1, x_1, \beta_1)) \in B \cdot A$, or $h(0) = h((\alpha_3, x_3, \beta_3) \cdot (\alpha_2, x_2, \beta_2)) = h((\alpha_3, x_3, \beta_3)) \cdot h((\alpha_2, x_2, \beta_2)) \in B \cdot A$. If $\beta_1 \neq \beta_2$ then $\beta_1 \neq \alpha_3$ or $\beta_2 \neq \alpha_3$, and hence $h(0) = h((\alpha_1, x_1, \beta_1) \cdot (\alpha_3, x_3, \beta_3)) = h((\alpha_1, x_1, \beta_1)) \cdot h((\alpha_3, x_3, \beta_3)) \in A \cdot B$, or $h(0) = h((\alpha_2, x_2, \beta_2) \cdot (\alpha_3, x_3, \beta_3)) = h((\alpha_2, x_2, \beta_2)) \cdot h((\alpha_3, x_3, \beta_3)) \in A \cdot B$. \diamond

2. Topological Brandt λ^0 -extensions of topological semigroups with zero. Further, by \mathcal{S} we denote some class of topological semigroups with zero.

Definition 1. Let λ be a cardinal ≥ 2 , and $(S, \tau) \in \mathcal{S}$. Let τ_B be a topology on $B_\lambda^0(S)$ such that

$$\mathbf{a)} \quad (B_\lambda^0(S), \tau_B) \in \mathcal{S}; \quad \mathbf{b)} \quad \tau_B|_{(\alpha, S, \alpha) \cup \{0\}} = \tau \text{ for some } \alpha \in I_\lambda.$$

Then $(B_\lambda^0(S), \tau_B)$ is called a *topological Brandt λ^0 -extension of (S, τ) in \mathcal{S}* . If \mathcal{S} coincides with the class of all topological semigroups, then $(B_\lambda^0(S), \tau_B)$ is called a *topological Brandt λ^0 -extension of (S, τ)* .

Lemma 1. *Let $\lambda \geq 2$ and $B_\lambda^0(S)$ be a topological λ^0 -extension of a topological monoid S with zero. Let T be a topological semigroup and $h : B_\lambda^0(S) \rightarrow T$ be a continuous homomorphism. Then the sets $h(A_{\alpha\beta})$ and $h(A_{\gamma\delta})$ are homeomorphic in T for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in I_\lambda$, and all $A \subseteq S$.*

P r o o f. If h is an annihilating homomorphism, then the statement of the Lemma is trivial. Otherwise, we fix arbitrary $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in I_\lambda$ and define the maps $\varphi_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma\delta} : T \rightarrow T$ and $\varphi_{\gamma\delta}^{\alpha\beta} : T \rightarrow T$ by the formulae $\varphi_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma\delta}(s) = h((\gamma, 1, \alpha)) \cdot s \cdot h((\beta, 1, \delta))$ and $\varphi_{\gamma\delta}^{\alpha\beta}(s) = h((\alpha, 1, \gamma)) \cdot s \cdot h((\delta, 1, \beta))$, $s \in T$. Obviously $\varphi_{\gamma\delta}^{\alpha\beta}(\varphi_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma\delta}(h((\alpha, x, \beta)))) = h((\alpha, x, \beta))$ and $\varphi_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma\delta}(\varphi_{\gamma\delta}^{\alpha\beta}(h((\gamma, x, \delta)))) = h((\gamma, x, \delta))$, for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in I_\lambda$, $x \in S^1$, and hence $\varphi_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma\delta}|_{A_{\alpha\beta}} = (\varphi_{\gamma\delta}^{\alpha\beta})^{-1}|_{A_{\alpha\beta}}$. Since the maps $\varphi_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma\delta}$ and $\varphi_{\gamma\delta}^{\alpha\beta}$ are continuous on T , the map $\varphi_{\gamma\delta}^{\alpha\beta}|_{h(A_{\alpha\beta})} : h(A_{\alpha\beta}) \rightarrow h(A_{\gamma\delta})$ is a homeomorphism. \diamond

Proposition 5. *Let $\lambda \geq 2$ and let $B_\lambda^0(S)$ be a topological λ^0 -extension of a topological monoid S with zero. Let T be a topological semigroup and $h : B_\lambda^0(S) \rightarrow T$ be a continuous homomorphism. Assume that a set $A \subseteq h(B_\lambda^0(S))$ is such that A intersects at least two different subsets of the type $h(S_{\alpha\beta})$. Then $h(0) \in A \cdot A$.*

P r o o f. The case $h(0) \in A$ is trivial. Assume that $h(0) \notin A$, $A \cap \bigcap h(S_{\alpha_1\alpha_2}) \neq \emptyset$ and $A \cap h(S_{\beta_1\beta_2}) \neq \emptyset$ for some $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2 \in I_\lambda$, i.e. there exist $x, y \in S^1$ such that $h((\alpha_1, x, \alpha_2)) \in A$ and $h((\beta_1, y, \beta_2)) \in A$. If $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2$ or $\beta_1 \neq \beta_2$, then $h(0) = h((\alpha_1, x, \alpha_2)) \cdot h((\alpha_1, x, \alpha_2)) \in A \cdot A$ or $h(0) = h((\beta_1, y, \beta_2)) \cdot h((\beta_1, y, \beta_2)) \in A \cdot A$. If $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$ and $\beta_1 = \beta_2$, then $\alpha_2 \neq \beta_1$, and hence $h(0) = h((\alpha_1, x, \alpha_2)) \cdot h((\beta_1, y, \beta_2)) \in A \cdot A$. \diamond

Lemma 2. *Let $\lambda \geq 2$, $B_\lambda^0(S)$ and T be topological semigroups and $h : B_\lambda^0(S) \rightarrow T$ be a continuous homomorphism. Let $h(B_\lambda^0(S))$ be a dense subsemigroup of T and $h(S_{\alpha\beta})$ be a closed subset in T for some $\alpha, \beta \in I_\lambda$. Then $a \cdot a = h(0)$ for all $a \in T \setminus h(B_\lambda^0(S))$, and $h(0)$ is the zero of T .*

P r o o f. Since $h(B_\lambda^0(S))$ is a dense subsemigroup of T , by Proposition 2 [7], $h(0)$ is the zero of T . Assume that $a \cdot a = b \neq h(0)$ for some $a \in T \setminus h(B_\lambda^0(S))$. Then for any open neighborhood $U(b) \ni h(0)$ there exists an open neighborhood $V(a) \ni h(0)$ such that $V(a) \cdot V(a) \subseteq U(b)$. By Lemma 1 the set $h(S_{\gamma\delta})$ is closed for each $\gamma, \delta \in I_\lambda$. Therefore the neighborhood $V(a)$ intersects infinitely many sets of the type $h(S_{\alpha\beta})$, $\alpha, \beta \in I_\lambda$. Then by Proposition 5 we have $h(0) \in V(a) \cdot V(a) \subseteq U(b)$, a contradiction with the choice of $U(b)$. \diamond

Theorem 2. *Let S be a topological inverse monoid with zero. Let $\lambda \geq 2$, $B_\lambda^0(S)$ and T be topological inverse semigroups, $h : B_\lambda^0(S) \rightarrow T$ be a continuous homomorphism such that the set $h(S_{\alpha\beta})$ is closed in T for some $\alpha, \beta \in I_\lambda$. Then $h(B_\lambda^0(S))$ is a closed subsemigroup of T .*

P r o o f. In the case $2 \leq \lambda < \omega$ the statement of the Theorem follows from Lemma 1.

Let $\lambda \geq \omega$. We denote $G = \text{cl}_T(h(B_\lambda^0(S)))$. By Proposition II.2 [3], G is a topological inverse semigroup. Let $b \in G \setminus h(B_\lambda^0(S))$. Then by Lemma 1, $b, b^{-1} \in G \setminus E(G)$. We remark that $b \cdot b^{-1} \neq h(0)$ and $b^{-1} \cdot b \neq h(0)$. Indeed, if we assume that $b \cdot b^{-1} = h(0)$ or $b^{-1} \cdot b = h(0)$, then since $h(0)$ is the zero of G , we would get $b = b \cdot b^{-1} \cdot b = h(0) \cdot b = h(0)$ or $b = b^{-1} \cdot b \cdot b = h(0) \cdot b = h(0)$, which would contradict the inclusion $b \in G \setminus h(B_\lambda^0(S))$.

Therefore there exist $e, f \in E(G) = E(h(B_\lambda^0(S)))$, such that $b \cdot b^{-1} = e$ and $b^{-1} \cdot b = f$. We consider first the case $e \neq f$. Let $W(e) \ni h(0)$ and $W(f) \ni h(0)$ be disjoint open neighborhood s of e and f in T , respectively. Then there exist disjoint open neighborhood s $U(b) \ni h(0)$ and $U(b^{-1}) \ni h(0)$ in T such that $U(b) \cdot U(b^{-1}) \subseteq W(e)$ and $U(b^{-1}) \cdot U(b) \subseteq W(f)$. By Lemma 1 the set $h(S_{\alpha\beta})$ is closed in T for each $\alpha, \beta \in I_\lambda$, and hence the sets $U(b)$ and $U(b^{-1})$ intersect infinitely many different sets of the type $h(S_{\gamma\delta}) \setminus h(0)$, $\gamma, \delta \in I_\lambda$. Thus by Proposition 5 we get $h(0) \in U(b) \cdot U(b^{-1}) \subseteq W(e)$ or $h(0) \in U(b^{-1}) \cdot U(b) \subseteq W(f)$, a contradiction with the choice of the neighborhoods $W(e)$ and $W(f)$. In the case $e = f$ we similarly derive a contradiction. The obtained contradictions imply the statement of the theorem. \diamond

Definition 2 [12]. Let \mathcal{S} be a class of topological semigroups. A semigroup $S \in \mathcal{S}$ is called *H-closed in \mathcal{S}* , if S is a closed subsemigroup of any topological semigroup $T \in \mathcal{S}$ which contains S as subsemigroup. If \mathcal{S} coincides with the class of all topological semigroups, then the semigroup S is called *H-closed*.

Definition 3 [13]. Let \mathcal{S} be a class of topological semigroups. A topological semigroup $S \in \mathcal{S}$ is called *absolutely H-closed in the class \mathcal{S}* if any continuous homomorphic image of S into $T \in \mathcal{S}$ is H-closed in \mathcal{S} . If \mathcal{S} coincides with the class of all topological semigroups, then the semigroup S is called *absolutely H-closed*.

Lemma 1 and Theorem 2 imply

Theorem 3. *For any cardinal $\lambda \geq 2$, every topological Brandt λ^0 -extension $B_\lambda^0(S)$ of an absolutely H-closed topological inverse monoid S with zero in the class of topological inverse semigroups, is absolutely H-closed in the class of topological inverse semigroups.*

Corollary 2. *For any cardinal $\lambda \geq 2$, every topological Brandt λ^0 -extension $B_\lambda^0(S)$ of a compact topological inverse semigroup S with zero in the class of topological inverse semigroups, is absolutely H-closed in the class of topological inverse semigroups.*

Theorem 4. *Let S be a topological inverse monoid with zero. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *S is an absolutely H-closed semigroup in the class of topological inverse semigroups;*
- (ii) *there exists a cardinal $\lambda \geq 2$ such that any topological Brandt λ^0 -extension $B_\lambda^0(S)$ of the semigroup S is absolutely H-closed in the class of topological inverse semigroups;*

(iii) for each cardinal $\lambda \geq 2$, every topological Brandt λ^0 -extension $B_\lambda^0(S)$ of the semigroup S is absolutely H -closed in the class of topological inverse semigroups.

P r o o f. The implication (iii) \Rightarrow (ii) is trivial, and Theorem 3 claims the implications (i) \Rightarrow (ii) and (i) \Rightarrow (iii).

We shall show that the implication (ii) \Rightarrow (i) holds. Suppose the contrary, i.e. that there exists a non-absolutely H -closed topological inverse monoid S with zero in the class of topological inverse semigroups, and for some cardinal $\lambda^* \geq 2$ every topological Brandt λ_0^* -extension $B_{\lambda^*}^0(S)$ is absolutely H -closed in the class of topological inverse semigroups. Then there exist a topological inverse semigroup T and a continuous homomorphism «into» $h : S \rightarrow T$ such that $h(S)$ is not a closed subsemigroup of T .

Let τ_S and τ_T be direct sum topologies on $B_{\lambda^*}^0(S)$ and $B_{\lambda^*}^0(T)$, respectively (see [5, p. 129]). Then $(B_{\lambda^*}^0(S), \tau_S)$ and $(B_{\lambda^*}^0(T), \tau_T)$ are topological inverse semigroups, S and T^1 are homeomorphic to $S_{\alpha\beta}$ and $T_{\alpha\beta}$, for all $\alpha, \beta \in I_\lambda$ (see [5, p. 129]). We define the map $\tilde{h} : B_{\lambda^*}^0(S) \rightarrow B_{\lambda^*}^0(T)$ as follows: $\tilde{h}(0) = 0$ and $\tilde{h}((\alpha, s, \beta)) = (\alpha, h(s), \beta)$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in I_\lambda$, $s \in S \setminus \{0\}$. Obviously, the homomorphism $\tilde{h} : (B_{\lambda^*}^0(S), \tau_S) \rightarrow (B_{\lambda^*}^0(T), \tau_T)$ is continuous and $\tilde{h}(B_{\lambda^*}^0(S))$ is not a closed subsemigroup of $(B_{\lambda^*}^0(T), \tau_T)$. Therefore there exists a topological Brandt λ_0^* -extension $(B_{\lambda^*}^0(S), \tau_S)$, which is not absolutely H -closed in the class of topological inverse semigroups. The obtained contradiction implies the statement of the theorem. \diamond

Taking $h : B_\lambda^0(S) \rightarrow T$ is a topological isomorphism «into» in Lemma 1 and Theorem 2, we get

Theorem 5. *For any cardinal $\lambda \geq 2$, every topological Brandt λ^0 -extension $B_\lambda^0(S)$ of a H -closed topological inverse monoid S with zero in the class of topological inverse semigroups is H -closed in the class of topological inverse semigroups.*

The proof of the next theorem is similar that of Theorem 4.

Theorem 6. *Let S be a topological inverse monoid with zero. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) S is an H -closed semigroup in the class of topological inverse semigroups;
- (ii) there exists a cardinal $\lambda \geq 2$ such that any topological Brandt λ^0 -extension $B_\lambda^0(S)$ of the semigroup S is H -closed in the class of topological inverse semigroups;
- (iii) for each cardinal $\lambda \geq 2$, every topological Brandt λ^0 -extension $B_\lambda^0(S)$ of the semigroup S is H -closed in the class of topological inverse semigroups.

Let (S, τ) be a topological semigroup with zero 0_S and $\lambda \geq \omega$. Let $V(0_S)$ be an open neighborhood of the zero of the semigroup (S, τ) . For all $\alpha, \beta \in I_\lambda$ we put

$$V_\alpha(V(0_S)) = B_\lambda^0(S) \setminus \{(\alpha, s, \gamma) \mid \gamma \in I_\lambda, s \in S \setminus V(0_S)\}$$

and

$$H_\beta(V(0_S)) = B_\lambda^0(S) \setminus \{(\gamma, s, \beta) \mid \gamma \in I_\lambda, s \in S \setminus V(0_S)\}.$$

We define

$$U^{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n}(V(0_S)) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n V_{\alpha_i}(V(0_S)), \quad U_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m}(V(0_S)) = \bigcap_{j=1}^m H_{\beta_j}(V(0_S)),$$

$$U_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m}^{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n}(V(0_S)) = U^{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n}(V(0_S)) \cap U_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m}(V(0_S)),$$

where $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m \in I_\lambda$, $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $\Omega(s)$ be a base of the topology τ at the point $s \in S$. Further, we define the following families

$$\Omega_v = \{U^{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n}(V(0_S)) \mid \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in I_\lambda, n \in \mathbb{N}, V(0_S) \in \Omega(0_S)\} \cup \\ \cup \{(\alpha, V(s), \beta) \mid V(s) \in \Omega(s), s \in S \setminus \{0_S\}, \alpha, \beta \in I_\lambda\},$$

$$\Omega_h = \{U_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m}(V(0_S)) \mid \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m \in I_\lambda, m \in \mathbb{N}, V(0_S) \in \Omega(0_S)\} \cup \\ \cup \{(\alpha, V(s), \beta) \mid V(s) \in \Omega(s), s \in S \setminus \{0_S\}, \alpha, \beta \in I_\lambda\},$$

$$\Omega_i = \{U_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m}^{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n}(V(0_S)) \mid \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m \in I_\lambda, n, m \in \mathbb{N}, V(0_S) \in \\ \in \Omega(0_S)\} \cup \{(\alpha, V(s), \beta) \mid V(s) \in \Omega(s), s \in S \setminus \{0_S\}, \alpha, \beta \in I_\lambda\}.$$

Obviously, the conditions (BP1)–(BP3) [4] hold for the families Ω_v , Ω_h and Ω_i , and hence Ω_v , Ω_h and Ω_i are the bases of topologies $\tau_v(S)$, $\tau_h(S)$ and $\tau_i(S)$ on the semigroup $B_\lambda^0(S)$, respectively.

Definition 4. Let S be a topological semigroup with zero 0 . Then S is called a *left [right] 0-bounded semigroup* if for any open neighborhood $U(0)$ of zero there exists an open neighborhood $V(0)$ such that $V(0) \cdot S \subseteq U(0)$ [$S \cdot V(0) \subseteq U(0)$]. A left and right 0-bounded topological semigroup is called *0-bounded*.

Theorem 7. *Every compact topological semigroup with zero is 0-bounded.*

P r o o f. Let S be a compact topological semigroup with zero 0 and $U(0)$ be an open neighborhood of 0 . Since the multiplication in S is continuous, for any $s \in S$ there exist open neighborhoods $V(s)$ and $V_s(0)$ of s and 0 , respectively, such that $V(s)V_s(0) \subseteq U(0)$ and $V_s(0)V(s) \subseteq U(0)$. The compactness of S implies that the open cover $\gamma = \{V(s) \mid s \in S\}$ contains a finite subcover $\gamma_0 = \{V(s_j) \mid s_j \in S, j = 1, \dots, k\}$. Put $V(0) = \bigcap_{j=1}^k V_{s_j}(0)$. Therefore, we get

$$SV(0) = (V(s_1) \cup \dots \cup V(s_k))V(0) \subseteq V(s_1)V(0) \cup \dots \cup V(s_k)V(0) \subseteq U(0)$$

and

$$V(0)S = V(0)(V(s_1) \cup \dots \cup V(s_k)) \subseteq V(0)V(s_1) \cup \dots \cup V(0)V(s_k) \subseteq U(0). \diamond$$

Proposition 6. *Let $\lambda \geq \omega$ and (S, τ) be a topological semigroup with zero. Then the semigroup (S, τ) is left [right] 0-bounded if and only if $(B_\lambda^0(S), \tau_v(S))$ [$(B_\lambda^0(S), \tau_h(S))$] is a topological semigroup.*

P r o o f. (\Rightarrow) We consider only the case $(B_\lambda^0(S), \tau_v(S))$. The proof of the statement for the semigroup $(B_\lambda^0(S), \tau_h(S))$ is similar.

It is sufficient to consider the following cases.

1°. Let $ab = c \neq 0$ in S and $U(a)U(b) \subseteq U(c)$. If $\beta \neq \gamma$, then

$$(\alpha, U(a), \beta)(\gamma, U(b), \delta) = \{0\} \subseteq U^{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n}(U(0))$$

for any $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in I_\lambda$ and any open neighborhood $U(0)$ of the zero 0 , and

$$(\alpha, U(a), \beta)(\beta, U(b), \delta) \subseteq (\alpha, U(c), \delta).$$

2°. Let $ab = 0$ in S and $U(a)U(b) \subseteq U(0)$. If $\beta \neq \gamma$, then

$$(\alpha, U(a), \beta)(\gamma, U(b), \delta) = \{0\} \subseteq U^{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n}(U(0))$$

and

$$(\alpha, U(a), \beta)(\beta, U(b), \delta) \subseteq U^{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n}(U(0))$$

for any $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in I_\lambda$ and any open neighborhood $U(0)$ of the zero 0 .

3°. If $V(0)$ and $U(0)$ are open neighborhoods of zero in S such that $V(0)S \subseteq U(0)$, then $U^{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n}(V(0))U^{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n}(V(0)) \subseteq U^{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n}(U(0))$.

4°. If $V(a)$, $V(0)$ and $U(0)$ are open neighborhoods of a and zero in S such that $V(a)V(0) \subseteq U(0)$ and $V(0)V(a) \subseteq U(0)$, then

$$(\alpha, V(a), \beta)U^{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta}(V(0)) \subseteq U^{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n}(U(0))$$

and

$$U^{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n}(V(0))(\alpha, V(a), \beta) \subseteq U^{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n}(U(0)).$$

(\Leftarrow) Suppose the contrary, i.e. that $(B_\lambda^0(S), \tau_v(S))$ is a topological semigroup and (S, τ) is a non-left 0-bounded topological semigroup. Then there exists an open neighborhood $U(0)$ of zero in (S, τ) such that $V(0)S \not\subseteq U(0)$ for any open neighborhood $V(0)$ of the zero 0 in (S, τ) . Therefore for every open neighborhood $W(0)$ of zero in (S, τ) and any $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_k \in I_\lambda$, $m, k \in \mathbb{N}$, the following condition holds $U^{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k}(W(0))U^{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k}(W(0)) \not\subseteq U^{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m}(U(0))$, which contradicts the assumption that $(B_\lambda^0(S), \tau_v(S))$ is a topological semigroup. \diamond

Proposition 7. *Let $\lambda \geq \omega$ and let (S, τ) be a topological (inverse) semigroup with zero. Then $(B_\lambda^0(S), \tau_i(S))$ is a topological (inverse) semigroup.*

The proof of Proposition 7 is similar to the one of Proposition 6. Proposition 2 [7] implies the following

Lemma 3. *Let $\lambda \geq \omega$, $B_\lambda^0(S)$ and T be topological semigroups and $h : B_\lambda^0(S) \rightarrow T$ be a continuous homomorphism such that $h(B_\lambda^0(S))$ is a dense subset in T . Then $0_T = h(0)$ is the zero of the semigroup T .*

Theorem 8. *Let $\lambda \geq \omega$ and (S, τ) be an absolutely H -closed topological (inverse) monoid with zero. Then $(B_\lambda^0(S), \tau_i(S))$ is an absolutely H -closed topological (inverse) semigroup.*

P r o o f. Suppose the contrary, i.e. that $(B_\lambda^0(S), \tau_i(S))$ is not an absolutely H -closed topological semigroup. Then there exists a continuous homomorphism $h : B_\lambda^0(S) \rightarrow T$ from $B_\lambda^0(S)$ into a topological semigroup T such that $h(B_\lambda^0(S))$ is not a closed subset in T . Without loss of generality we can suppose that the set $h(B_\lambda^0(S))$ is dense in T and $h(B_\lambda^0(S)) \neq T$. Then there exists $x \in \overline{h(B_\lambda^0(S))} \setminus h(B_\lambda^0(S)) \subseteq T$. By Lemma 3, $h(0) = 0_T$ and hence $x \cdot 0_T =$

$= 0_T \cdot x = 0_T$. Since T is a topological semigroup, for any open neighborhood $W(0_T)$ of 0_T in T there exist open neighborhoods $V(0_T)$ and $U(0_T)$ of 0_T in T and an open neighborhood $V(x)$ of x in T such that $V(0_T) \cap V(x) = \emptyset$, $U(0_T) \cap V(x) = \emptyset$, $V(0_T) \subseteq W(0_T)$, $U(0_T) \subseteq W(0_T)$, $V(0_T) \cdot V(x) \subseteq U(0_T)$, and $V(x) \cdot V(0_T) \subseteq U(0_T)$.

Since $0 \in h^{-1}(U(0_T))$ and $h^{-1}(U(0_T))$ is an open subset in $(B_\lambda^0(S), \tau_i(S))$, there exist $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m \in I_\lambda$ such that $U_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m}^{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n}(V(0_S)) \subseteq h^{-1}(U(0_S))$.

By Lemma 1 the sets $h(S_{\alpha\beta})$ and $h(S_{\gamma\delta})$ are homeomorphic in T , and hence are closed subsets of T for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in I_\lambda$. Therefore at least one of the following conditions holds:

(i) for some $i_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, the set $B_{i_0} = h^{-1}(V(x)) \cap \{(\alpha_{i_0}, s, \gamma) \mid s \in S^1, \gamma \in I_\lambda\}$ intersects infinitely many subsets $S_{\alpha\beta}$;

(ii) for some $j_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, the set $B^{j_0} = h^{-1}(V(x)) \cap \{(\gamma, s, \alpha_{j_0}) \mid s \in S^1, \gamma \in I_\lambda\}$ intersects infinitely many subsets $S_{\alpha\beta}$.

Indeed, suppose that for any $\alpha_{i_0} \in I_\lambda$ the set B_{i_0} intersects finitely many subsets $S_{\alpha\beta}$, i.e. $S_{\alpha\beta} \cap S_{\alpha_{i_0}\beta_i} \neq \emptyset$ only for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. By Lemma 1 the set $h(S_{\alpha_{i_0}\beta_i})$ is closed in T and hence $h(S_{\alpha_{i_0}\beta_1}) \cup \dots \cup h(S_{\alpha_{i_0}\beta_n})$ is a closed subset of T . Therefore x is not a limit point of the set $h(B_\lambda^0(S))$ in the topological space T . This contradicts the choice of α . Therefore the set B_{i_0} intersects infinitely many subsets $S_{\alpha\beta}$ for some $i_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

Taking i_0 as in (i), we define

$$\Gamma_{i_0} = \{\gamma \in I_\lambda \mid \text{there exists } s \in S \text{ such that } (\alpha_{i_0}, s, \gamma) \in h^{-1}(V(x))\}.$$

For any element $U_{\delta_1, \dots, \delta_k}^{\delta_1, \dots, \delta_k}(V(0_S))$ of the base of the topology $\tau_i(S)$ at zero, where $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_k \in I_\lambda$ and $U_{\delta_1, \dots, \delta_k}^{\delta_1, \dots, \delta_k}(V(0_S)) \subseteq h^{-1}(V(x))$ we have that the set $\{(\gamma, s, \gamma) \mid \gamma \in \Gamma_{i_0}, s \in S\} \cap U_{\delta_1, \dots, \delta_k}^{\delta_1, \dots, \delta_k}(V(0_S))$ contains infinitely many subsets $S_{\alpha\alpha}$ and hence the set Γ_{i_0} is infinite. Since $(\alpha_{i_0}, s, \gamma) \cdot (\gamma, s, \gamma) \neq (\alpha, s, \beta)$, for $\alpha \notin \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$, $\beta \notin \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m\}$ and $i_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ we have

$$B_{i_0} \cdot U_{\delta_1, \dots, \delta_k}^{\delta_1, \dots, \delta_k}(V(0_S)) \not\subseteq U_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m}^{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n}(V(0_S)),$$

which contradicts the inclusion $V(x) \cdot V(0_T) \subseteq U(0_T)$.

Let $j_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ be such that the set $B^{j_0} = h^{-1}(V(x)) \cap \{(\gamma, s, \alpha_{j_0}) \mid s \in S^1, \gamma \in I_\lambda\}$ intersects infinitely many subsets $S_{\alpha\beta}$. We define

$$\Gamma^{j_0} = \{\gamma \in I_\lambda \mid \text{there exists } s \in S \text{ such that } (\gamma, s, \alpha_{j_0}) \in h^{-1}(V(x))\}.$$

For any element $U_{\delta_1, \dots, \delta_k}^{\delta_1, \dots, \delta_k}(V(0_S))$ of the base of the topology $\tau_i(S)$ at zero, where $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_k \in I_\lambda$ and $U_{\delta_1, \dots, \delta_k}^{\delta_1, \dots, \delta_k}(V(0_S)) \subseteq h^{-1}(V(x))$ we have that the set $\{(\gamma, s, \gamma) \mid \gamma \in \Gamma^{j_0}, s \in S\} \cap U_{\delta_1, \dots, \delta_k}^{\delta_1, \dots, \delta_k}(V(0_S))$ contains infinitely many subsets

$S_{\alpha\alpha}$ and hence the set Γ^{j_0} is infinite. Since $(\gamma, s, \gamma) \cdot (\gamma, s, \alpha_{j_0}) \neq (\beta, s, \alpha)$ for $\alpha \notin \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$, $\beta \notin \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m\}$ and $j_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ we have

$$U_{\delta_1, \dots, \delta_k}^{\delta_1, \dots, \delta_k}(V(0_S)) \cdot B^{j_0} \not\subseteq U_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m}^{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n}(V(0_S)),$$

which contradicts the inclusion $V(0_T) \cdot V(x) \subseteq U(0_T)$.

Therefore, the contradictions derived show that $(B_\lambda^0(S), \tau_i(S))$ is an absolutely H -closed topological (inverse) semigroup. \diamond

Theorem 8 implies

Corollary 3. *Let $\lambda \geq \omega$ and let (S, τ) be a compact topological (inverse) monoid with zero. Then $(B_\lambda^0(S), \tau_i(S))$ is an absolutely H -closed topological (inverse) semigroup.*

The proof of next Theorem is similar that of Theorem 8.

Theorem 9. *Let $\lambda \geq \omega$ and let (S, τ) be a left [right] 0-bounded absolutely H -closed topological monoid with zero. Then $(B_\lambda^0(S), \tau_h(S))$ [($B_\lambda^0(S), \tau_v(S)$)] is an absolutely H -closed topological semigroup.*

Theorem 9 implies

Corollary 4. *Let $\lambda \geq \omega$ and let (S, τ) be a compact topological (inverse) monoid with zero. Then $(B_\lambda^0(S), \tau_h(S))$ and $(B_\lambda^0(S), \tau_v(S))$ are absolutely H -closed topological semigroups.*

If in the proof of Theorem 8 we suppose that the homomorphism $h : B_\lambda^0(S) \rightarrow T$ is an embedding, then we get Theorem 10, and similarly Theorem 11.

Theorem 10. *Let $\lambda \geq \omega$ and let (S, τ) be a H -closed topological (inverse) monoid with zero. Then $(B_\lambda^0(S), \tau_i(S))$ is a H -closed topological (inverse) semigroup.*

Theorem 11. *Let $\lambda \geq \omega$ and let (S, τ) be a left [right] 0-bounded H -closed topological monoid with zero. Then $(B_\lambda^0(S), \tau_h(S))$ [($B_\lambda^0(S), \tau_v(S)$)] is a H -closed topological semigroup.*

A. D. Wallace in [14] proved that if S is a compact topological semigroup and ρ is a closed congruence on S , then S/ρ is a compact topological semigroup. As a consequence of this result we have that if I is a closed ideal of a compact topological semigroup S , then S/I is a compact topological semigroup. J. D. Lawson and B. L. Madison in [10] generalized this Wallace's result and showed that if S is a locally compact σ -compact topological semigroup and ρ is a closed congruence on S , then S/ρ is a topological semigroup. As an immediate corollary of the Lawson – Madison Theorem, we have a topological version of the Rees quotient semigroup: if S is a locally compact σ -compact topological semigroup and I is a closed ideal of S , then S/I is a topological semigroup.

The next theorem is a generalization of the Wallace Theorem on the Rees quotient semigroup.

Theorem 12. *Let S be a topological semigroup and I be a compact ideal in S . Then S/I is a topological semigroup.*

P r o o f. Let $\pi : S \rightarrow S/I$ be a natural homomorphism. By Proposition 2.1 [10] it is sufficient to prove that the map $\pi \times \pi : S \times S \rightarrow S/I \times S/I$ is quotient. We shall show that the map $\pi : S \rightarrow S/I$ is perfect. Since for any $\tilde{a} \in S/I$ the set $\pi^{-1}(\tilde{a})$ is compact in S , it is sufficient to prove that π is a closed map. Let A be a closed subset in S . We remark that the restriction

$\pi|_{S \setminus I}: S \setminus I \rightarrow (S/I) \setminus \pi(I)$ of the map π is a homeomorphism. Hence, if $A \cap I = \emptyset$ then $\pi(A)$ is a closed subset of S/I . Suppose that $A \cap I \neq \emptyset$ and $\pi(A)$ is not a closed subset in S/I . Since the map π is quotient, $\pi^{-1}(\pi(A))$ is a nonclosed subset of S . But the set $\pi^{-1}(\pi(A))$ is closed in S as a union of the closed subset A and the compactum I , a contradiction. The obtained contradiction implies that π is a closed map. Then by Theorem 3.7.7 [4] the map $\pi \times \pi: S \times S \rightarrow S/I \times S/I$ is perfect and hence by Corollary 2.4.8 [4] is quotient. Therefore S/I is a topological semigroup. \diamond

In [9] O. Hryniv constructed an example of a locally compact metrizable topological semigroup S with a closed ideal I such that S/I is not a topological semigroup. In our paper we construct an example of an absolutely H -closed countable metrizable topological semigroup S with an absolutely H -closed ideal I such that S/I is not a topological semigroup.

Example 1 [6]. Let \mathbb{N} be the set of positive integers. Let $\{x_n\}$ be an increasing sequence in \mathbb{N} . Put $\mathbb{N}^* = \{0\} \cup \{\frac{1}{n} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. We define the semilattice operation on \mathbb{N}^* as follows: $ab = \min\{a, b\}$, for $a, b \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Obviously, 0 is the zero of \mathbb{N}^* . We put $U_n(0) = \{0\} \cup \{\frac{1}{x_k} \mid k \geq n\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. A topology τ on \mathbb{N}^* is defined as follows:

- a) all nonzero elements of \mathbb{N}^* are isolated points in \mathbb{N}^* ;
- b) $\mathcal{B}(0) = \{U_n(0) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is the base of the topology τ at the point $0 \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

It is easy to see that (\mathbb{N}^*, τ) is a countable linearly ordered σ -compact locally compact metrizable topological semilattice and if $x_{k+1} > x_k + 1$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ then (\mathbb{N}^*, τ) is a non-compact semilattice. \blacktriangleright

By Proposition 1 [6] (\mathbb{N}^*, τ) is an H -closed topological semilattice and hence by Theorem 1 [6] the semilattice (\mathbb{N}^*, τ) is an absolutely H -closed.

Let $\mathbf{0} \notin \mathbb{N}^*$. We extend the semilattice operation from \mathbb{N}^* to $\tilde{\mathbb{N}}^* = \mathbb{N}^* \cup \mathbf{0}$ as follows: $\mathbf{0}x = x\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}$. We define the topological space $\tilde{\mathbb{N}}^*$ to be a topological sum of the space (\mathbb{N}^*, τ) and the single space $\mathbf{0}$.

Proposition 8. $\tilde{\mathbb{N}}^*$ is an absolutely H -closed metrizable topological semilattice.

Theorem 13. Let $\lambda = \omega$. Then $(B_\lambda^0(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}^*), \tau_h(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}^*))$ and $(B_\lambda^0(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}^*), \tau_v(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}^*))$ are metrizable topological semigroups.

P r o o f. We consider only the case $(B_\lambda^0(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}^*), \tau_h(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}^*))$. In the case $(B_\lambda^0(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}^*), \tau_v(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}^*))$ the proof is similar.

Obviously, the topological semilattice $\tilde{\mathbb{N}}^*$ is a zero-dimensional topological space, i.e. there exists a base of $\tilde{\mathbb{N}}^*$ which consists from clopen subsets. Hence by the definition of the topology $\tau_h(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}^*)$ every non-zero element of the topological semigroup $(B_\lambda^0(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}^*), \tau_h(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}^*))$ has a base which contains clopen subsets. Since $\mathbf{0}$ is an isolated point in $\tilde{\mathbb{N}}^*$, every element $U_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m}(\mathbf{0})$ of the base Ω_h of the topology $\tau_h(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}^*)$ has an open complement in $B_\lambda^0(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}^*)$ and hence

$U_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m}(\mathbf{0})$ is a closed subset of $(B_\lambda^0(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}^*), \tau_h(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}^*))$. Therefore the topological space $(B_\lambda^0(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}^*), \tau_h(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}^*))$ is 0-dimensional and hence is regular. Since $\lambda = \omega$, the definition of the base Ω_h implies that $(B_\lambda^0(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}^*), \tau_h(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}^*))$ is a second countable space, and hence by Theorem 4.2.9 [4] the topological space $(B_\lambda^0(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}^*), \tau_h(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}^*))$ is metrizable. \diamond

The set $\mathcal{J}(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}^*) = \{0\} \cup \{(\alpha, \mathbf{0}, \beta) \mid \mathbf{0} \in \tilde{\mathbb{N}}^*, \alpha, \beta \in I_\lambda\}$ is an ideal of $B_\lambda^0(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}^*)$. By Theorem 6 [8] the semigroup $\mathcal{J}(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}^*)$ with the induced topology τ_h from $(B_\lambda^0(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}^*), \tau_h(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}^*))$ is an absolutely H -closed topological semigroup and hence is a closed ideal of $(B_\lambda^0(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}^*), \tau_h(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}^*))$. Similarly we get that $\mathcal{J}(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}^*)$ with the induced topology τ_v from $(B_\lambda^0(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}^*), \tau_v(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}^*))$ is an absolutely H -closed topological semigroup and hence is a closed ideal of $(B_\lambda^0(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}^*), \tau_v(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}^*))$.

Obviously, the Rees quotient semigroup $B_\lambda^0(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}^*) / \mathcal{J}(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}^*)$ is algebraically isomorphic to the semigroup $B_\lambda^0(\mathbb{N}^*)$.

Lemma 4. *The topological semilattice (\mathbb{N}^*, τ) is 0-bounded if and only if it is compact.*

P r o o f. (\Rightarrow) Suppose there exists an increasing sequence $\{x_n\}$ in \mathbb{N} such that (\mathbb{N}^*, τ) is a 0-bounded non-compact topological semilattice. Then there exists $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_{k+1} > x_k + 1$ for all $k > k_0$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $U_i(0)\mathbb{N}^* = \mathbb{N}^*U_i(0) \not\subseteq U_j(0)$ for any $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, a contradiction. The obtained contradiction implies the implication.

The implication (\Leftarrow) follows from Theorem 7. \diamond

Lemma 4 implies

Theorem 14. *Let $\lambda \geq \omega$ and $\{x_n\}$ be an increasing sequence in \mathbb{N} such that $x_{k+1} > x_k + 1$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and define the topological semigroup (\mathbb{N}^*, τ) as above. Then the semigroup operations in $(B_\lambda^0(\mathbb{N}^*), \tau_h(\mathbb{N}^*))$ and $(B_\lambda^0(\mathbb{N}^*), \tau_v(\mathbb{N}^*))$ are discontinuous.*

Theorem 15. *Let $\lambda \geq \omega$ and $\{x_n\}$ be an increasing sequence in \mathbb{N} such that $x_{k+1} > x_k + 1$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and define the topological semigroup (\mathbb{N}^*, τ) as above. Then the topological Rees quotient semigroups $(B_\lambda^0(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}^*), \tau_h(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}^*)) / \mathcal{J}(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}^*)$ and $(B_\lambda^0(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}^*), \tau_v(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}^*)) / \mathcal{J}(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}^*)$ are not topological semigroups.*

P r o o f. We consider only the case $(B_\lambda^0(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}^*), \tau_h(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}^*)) / \mathcal{J}(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}^*)$. The proof of the statement for the semigroup $(B_\lambda^0(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}^*), \tau_v(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}^*)) / \mathcal{J}(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}^*)$ is similar.

At first we determine a base of the topology of the quotient space $(B_\lambda^0(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}^*), \tau_h(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}^*)) / \mathcal{J}(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}^*)$. Since for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the point $\frac{1}{n}$ is isolated in the topological space (\mathbb{N}^*, τ) , Proposition 2.4.3 [4] implies that any non-zero element of the semigroup $(B_\lambda^0(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}^*), \tau_h(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}^*)) / \mathcal{J}(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}^*)$ is isolated.

By $\Phi_{I_\lambda, \mathbb{N}}$ we denote the set of maps from I_λ into the set of positive integers \mathbb{N} . Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $U_n(0)$ be the set defined in Example 1. We define $M_n = \mathbb{N} \setminus U_n(0)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For all $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k \in \Phi_{I_\lambda, \mathbb{N}}$ and all $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in I_\lambda$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we put $M_{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k}^{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k} = B_\lambda^0(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}^*) \setminus \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k \bigcup_{\gamma \in I_\lambda} (\gamma, M_{\varphi_i(\gamma)}, \alpha_i) \right)$. Proposition 2.4.3 [4] implies that the family $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}(0) = \{M_{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k}^{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k} \mid \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in I_\lambda, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k \in \Phi_{I_\lambda, \mathbb{N}}, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a base at zero of the topology of the space $(B_\lambda^0(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}^*), \tau_h(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}^*)) / \mathcal{J}(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}^*)$.

Since the topological semigroup (\mathbb{N}^*, τ) is not compact, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathbb{N}^* U_k(0) = U_k(0) \mathbb{N}^* \not\subseteq U_{n_0}(0)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We consider $\psi_0 \in \Phi_{I_\lambda, \mathbb{N}}$ such that $\psi_0(\gamma) = n_0$ for all $\gamma \in I_\lambda$ and fix $\alpha_0 \in I_\lambda$. Obviously, $M_{\psi_0}^{\alpha_0} \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}(0)$. We remark that $(\gamma, \mathbb{N}, \beta)(\beta, U_{\varphi(\beta)}(0) \setminus \{0\}, \alpha) = (\gamma, \mathbb{N}(U_{\varphi(\beta)}(0) \setminus \{0\}), \alpha) \not\subseteq (\gamma, U_{n_0}(0), \alpha)$ for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in I_\lambda$ and all $\varphi \in \Phi_{I_\lambda, \mathbb{N}}$. Therefore, for every $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_j \in I_\lambda$ and every $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_j \in \Phi_{I_\lambda, \mathbb{N}}$, where $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $M_{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_j}^{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_j} M_{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_j}^{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_j} \not\subseteq M_{\psi_0}^{\alpha_0}$, and hence the semigroup operation in the semigroup $(B_\lambda^0(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}^*), \tau_h(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}^*)) / \mathcal{J}(\tilde{\mathbb{N}}^*)$ is discontinuous at zero. \diamond

1. Carruth J. H., Hildebrandt J. A., Koch R. J. The theory of topological semigroups. – New York and Basel: Marcell Dekker, Inc., 1983. – Vol. 1. – 244 p.; 1986. – Vol. 2. – 196 p.
2. Clifford A. H., Preston G. B. The algebraic theory of semigroups. – Providence: Amer. Math. Soc., 1961. – Vol. 1. – 288 p.; 1972. – Vol. 2. – 424 p.
3. Eberhart C., Selden J. On the closure of the bicyclic semigroup // Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. – 1969. – **144**. – P. 115–126.
4. Engelking R. General Topology. – Warsaw: PWN, 1986. – 752 p.
5. Gutik O. V. On Howie semigroup // Mat. Metody Phis.-Mech. Polya. – 1999. – **42**, No. 4. – P. 127–132 (in Ukrainian).
6. Gutik O. V. On linearly ordered H -closed topological semilattices. – Preprint.
7. Gutik O. V., Pavlyk K. P. The H -closedness of topological inverse semigroups and topological Brandt λ -extensions // XVI Open Sci. and Techn. Conf. of Young Scientists and Specialists of the Karpenko Physico-Mechanical Inst. of NASU (Lviv, May 16–18, 2001): Materials. – Lviv, 2001. – P. 240–243.
8. Gutik O. V., Pavlyk K. P. Topological semigroups of matrix units // Algebra and Discrete Math. – 2005. – No. 3. – P. 1–17.
9. Hryniv O. Quotient topologies on topological semilattices // Mat. Studii. – 2005. – **23**, No. 2. – P. 136–142.
10. Lawson J. D., Madison B. On congruences and cones // Math. Z. – 1971. – **120**. – P. 18–24.
11. Schein B. M. Homomorphisms and subdirect decompositions of semigroups // Pacific J. Math. – 1966. – **24**, No. 3. – P. 529–547.
12. Stepp J. W. A note on maximal locally compact semigroups // Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. – 1969. – **20**, No. 1. – P. 251–253.
13. Stepp J. W. Algebraic maximal semilattices // Pacific J. Math. – 1975. – **58**, No. 1. – P. 243–248.
14. Wallace A. D. On the structure of topological semigroups // Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. – 1955. – **61**. – P. 95–112.

ПРО λ^0 -РОЗШИРЕННЯ БРАНДТА НАПІВГРУП З НУЛЕМ

Вводиться λ^0 -розширення Брандта $B_\lambda^0(S)$ напівгрупи S з нулем і встановлено деякі алгебраїчні властивості напівгрупи S , які зберігаються напівгрупою $B_\lambda^0(S)$.

Також введено топологічне λ^0 -розширення Брандта топологічної напівгрупи S з нулем і встановлено його топологічні властивості в залежності від топологічної напівгрупи S . Зокрема, доведено, що топологічне λ^0 -розширення Брандта (абсолютно) H -замкненої топологічної інверсної напівгрупи S є (абсолютно) H -замкненою напівгрупою у класі топологічних інверсних напівгруп. Побудовано топології на напівгрупі $B_\lambda^0(S)$, які зберігають абсолютну H -замкненість і H -замкненість. За допомогою топологічного λ^0 -розширення Брандта побудовано приклад абсолютно H -замкненої метризованої інверсної топологічної напівгрупи S з абсолютно H -замкненим ідеалом I такої, що фактор-напівгрупа Рісса S/I не є топологічною напівгрупою.

О λ^0 -РАСШИРЕНИЯХ БРАНДТА ПОЛУГРУПП С НУЛЁМ

Вводится λ^0 -расширение Брандта $B_\lambda^0(S)$ полугруппы S с нулём и установлены некоторые алгебраические свойства полугруппы S , которые сохраняются полугруппой $B_\lambda^0(S)$. Также введено топологическое λ^0 -расширение Брандта топологической полугруппы S с нулём и установлено его топологические свойства в зависимости от топологической полугруппы S . В частности, доказано, что топологическое λ^0 -расширение Брандта (абсолютно) H -замкнутой топологической инверсной полугруппы S есть (абсолютно) H -замкнутая полугруппа в классе топологических инверсных полугрупп. Построены топологии на $B_\lambda^0(S)$, которые сохраняют абсолютную H -замкнутость и H -замкнутость. С помощью конструкции топологического λ^0 -расширения Брандта построен пример абсолютно H -замкнутой метризуемой инверсной топологической полугруппы S с абсолютно H -замкнутым идеалом I такой, что фактор-полугруппа Рисса S/I не является топологической полугруппой.

¹ Ivan Franko L'viv nat. univ., L'viv,
² Pidstryhach Inst. of Appl. Problems
of Mech. and Math. NASU, L'viv

Received
19.04.06