

**THE PROBABILITY OF ABSENCE ZEROS IN THE DISC
FOR SOME RANDOM ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS**

©2011 Oleh SKASKIV, Andriy KURYLIAK

Ivan Franko Lviv National University,
1 Universytetska Str., Lviv 79000, Ukraine

e-mail: *www.kurylyak88@gmail.com, matstud@franko.lviv.ua*

Received 30 March 2011

We consider random analytic function $f(z, \omega) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \phi_n a_n z^n$, where $a_n > 0$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sqrt[n]{a_n} = 1$, $\phi_n = \phi_n(\omega)$ are standard complex gaussian random variables in probability space of Steinhaus (Ω, \mathcal{A}, P) . If $\{a_n\}$ is log-concave and $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1-0} (1-r) \ln \ln M(r) = +\infty$, then $(\exists E(\varepsilon, f) = E \subset (0, 1)) (\forall r \in (0, 1) \setminus E)$

$$\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow 1-0} \frac{1}{1-r} \text{meas}(E \cap [r, 1)) = 0 \text{ and } \ln^- P(\{\omega: f(z, \omega) \neq 0\}) = S(r) + o(S(r)) \quad (r \rightarrow 1-0),$$

where $S(r) = 2 \sum_{n: a_n r^n \geq 1} \ln(a_n r^n)$.

1 Introduction and main definitions

We consider the random analytic function

$$f(z, \omega) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \phi_n a_n z^n, \tag{1}$$

УДК: 517.53+519.213.1; MSC 2000: 30B20

Key words and phrases: gaussian analytic function, probability of absence zeroes

where $a_n > 0$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sqrt[n]{a_n} = 1$, $\phi_n = \phi_n(\omega)$ are standard complex gaussian random variables in probability space of Steinhaus (Ω, \mathcal{A}, P) , where $\Omega = [0, 1]$, \mathcal{A} is σ -algebra of measurable subsets of Ω by Lebesgue and P is Lebesgue measure on the line. The probability density function for random variable ϕ_n ([1]) $p_{\phi_n}(z) = e^{-|z|^2}/\pi$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

We will use the following notations (see [2])

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_x(r) &= \{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : \ln a_n r^n \geq (1-x) \ln \mu(r)\}, \quad \mathcal{N}_{m,m+1}(r) = \\ &= \mathcal{N}_{m+1}(r)/\mathcal{N}_m(r), \\ N_x(r) &= \#\mathcal{N}_x(r), \quad N_{m,m+1}(r) = \#\mathcal{N}_{m,m+1}(r), \\ N_1(r) &= \#\mathcal{N}_1(r) = \#\{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : \ln(a_n r^n) \geq 0\}, \quad p_0(r) = \\ &= \ln^- P(\{\omega : f(z, \omega) \neq 0 \text{ for } |z| \leq r\}), \\ S(r) &= \ln \left(\prod_{n \in \mathcal{N}_1(r)} (a_n r^n)^2 \right) = 2 \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_1(r)} \ln(a_n r^n), \end{aligned}$$

where $\#E$ means the quantity of elements of the set E .

We called, that sequence $\{a_n\}$ is *log-concave*, if $\exists a(t) \in C^2(0, +\infty)$ such that $a(n) = a_n$ and $\ln a(t)$ is concave.

For random entire functions A. Nishry ([2]) has proved, that $p_0(r) = S(r) + o(S(r))$, $r \rightarrow +\infty$ ($r \notin E$, $\int_E dr/r < +\infty$), when $\{a_n\}$ is log-concave. We will prove analogues of this statement for random analytic functions in the unit disc.

Also we assume, that $a_0 = 1$. (If $a_0 \neq 1$, then we will consider the function $f(z, \omega)/a_0$. In the case when $a_0 = 0$, $P(\{\omega : f(z, \omega) \neq 0 \text{ for } |z| \leq r\}) \equiv 0$).

Denote by L the class of positive increasing to $+\infty$ functions on $[0, +\infty)$. Let L_0 be the class of nonnegative nonincreasing on $[0, +\infty)$ functions v such that

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{dv(y)}{y} < +\infty.$$

We denote the class functions $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} a_n z^n$ with radii of convergence $R(f) = 1$, such that $(\exists r_0 \in (0, 1)) (\forall r > r_0) (\exists b > 0) : \nu(r) \geq \Phi_1(b/(1-r))$ for $\Phi_1 \in L$ by $S_1^*(\Phi_1)$.

The following statement is a consequence of the theorem proved in [3].

Theorem 1. ([3]) *If $v \in L_0$, $f \in S_1^*(\Phi_1)$ and*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{t}{\Phi_1(t)} \int_0^{\Phi_1(t)} \left(\int_u^{+\infty} \frac{dv(x)}{x} \right) du = 0,$$

then $(\exists E \in (0, 1)) (\forall r \in (0, 1) \setminus E) (\forall n \geq \nu(r))$

$$|a_n| r^n \leq \mu(r) \exp\left(- \int_\nu^n \frac{n-t}{t} dv(t)\right),$$

where E is the set of zero density, that is (here meas is Lebesgue's measure on the line)

$$DE = \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow 1-0} \frac{1}{1-r} \text{meas}(E \cap [r, 1)) = 0.$$

Lemma 1. $(\forall \varepsilon > 0) (\exists E \subset (0, 1)) (\forall r \in (0, 1) \setminus E)$

$$M(r) < \frac{\mu(r)}{1-r} \left(\ln \frac{\mu(r)}{1-r} \ln \frac{1}{1-r} \right)^{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon}, \nu(r) < \frac{1}{1-r} \ln \frac{\mu(r)}{1-r} \ln_2^{1+\varepsilon} \frac{\mu(r)}{1-r} \text{ and}$$

$$\int_E \frac{dr}{1-r} < +\infty.$$

Denote by $\ln_k x$ the k -th iteration of the logarithm, and let E_1 be the set of finite logarithmic measure; denote by E the set for which $DE = 0$.

Lemma 2. *Let $f(x)$, $g(x)$ be positive increasing functions, $f \in C^1(0, 1)$, $g \in C(0, +\infty)$, $\int_1^{+\infty} \frac{dt}{g(t)} < +\infty$ and $\exists t_0 \in (0, 1): f(t_0) \geq 1$. Then outside the set of finite logarithmic measure $E \subset (t_0, 1): (1-t)f'(t) \leq g(f(t))$.*

Proof of the lemma 1. Let $f(r) = \ln \frac{\mu(r)}{1-r}$. Since $r(\ln \mu(r) + \ln \frac{1}{1-r})'_r = \nu(r) + \frac{r}{1-r}$, we will get by lemma 2 that $\frac{1-r}{r} r \frac{d}{dr} f(r) < g(f(r))$, $\frac{1-r}{r} (\nu(r) + \frac{r}{1-r}) < g(\ln \frac{\mu(r)}{1-r})$, that is $\nu(r) < \frac{r}{1-r} (g(\ln \frac{\mu(r)}{1-r}) - 1) < \frac{1}{1-r} g(\ln \frac{\mu(r)}{1-r})$. It remains to choose $g(x) = x \ln^{1+\varepsilon} x$. \square

Function $h(t) = \ln a(t) + t \ln r$ is concave, because the function $a(t)$ is log-concave. Let $N'(r)$ be a larger root of the equation $h(t) = 0$.

If the line satisfies the following equation

$$y(t) = \frac{\ln \mu(r)}{N'_1(r) - \nu(r)} (N'_1(r) - t),$$

then $y(\nu(r)) = \ln \mu(r)$, $y(N'_1(r)) = 0$. Since $h(t)$ is concave, we have $(\forall t > N'_1(r)) h(t) < y(t)$. Also $(N_1(r) - 2) \ln \mu(r) \leq S(r) \leq 2N_1(r) \ln \mu(r)$.

Lemma 3. *If $x \geq 1$, then $N_x(r) \leq xN_1(r) + 1$.*

Proof. Since $h(t) < y(t)$ for $t > N'_1(r)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 N_x(r) &= \#\{n: h(n) \geq (1-x)\ln \mu(r)\} \leq \#\left\{n: \frac{\ln \mu(r)}{N'_1(r) - \nu(r)} \times \right. \\
 &\times (N'_1(r) - n) \geq (1-x)\ln \mu(r)\left.\right\} = \#\left\{n: \frac{1}{N'_1(r) - \nu(r)}(N'_1(r) - n) \geq \right. \\
 &\left. \geq (1-x)\right\},
 \end{aligned}$$

therefore $N'_1(r) - n \geq (1-x)(N'_1(r) - \nu(r))$; $n \leq N'_1(r) - (1-x)(N'_1(r) - \nu(r))$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 N_x(r) &\leq N'_1(r) + (x-1)(N'_1(r) - \nu(r)) + 1 = xN'_1(r) - (x-1)\nu(r) + 1 \leq \\
 &\leq xN'_1(r) + 1 \leq xN_1(r) + 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 1. $N_{m,m+1}(r) \leq N_{m+1}(r) - N_m(r) \leq (m+1)N_1(r) + 1 - N_1(r) = mN_1(r) + 1$.

Lemma 4. *If*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1-0} (1-r) \ln \ln M(r) = +\infty, \tag{*}$$

then $(\forall \varepsilon > 0) (\exists E(\varepsilon, f) = E \subset (0, 1)) (\forall r \in (0, 1) \setminus E)$

$$N_1(r) \leq \ln \mu(r) \ln_2^{4+\varepsilon} \mu(r) \text{ and } DE = 0.$$

Proof. Notice that using lemma 2 $1/(1-r) = o(\ln \ln \mu(r))$ as $r \rightarrow 1-0$ we obtain $\nu(r) < \ln \mu(r) \ln_2^{2+\varepsilon} \mu(r)$. Since $\mu(r) \rightarrow +\infty$ i $\nu(r) = r \frac{d}{dr} \ln \mu(r)$, we have $(\forall r > r_1 > r_0) (\exists C > 0)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \ln \mu(r) - \ln \mu(r_0) &= \int_{r_0}^r \frac{\nu(t) dt}{t} \leq \\
 &\leq \nu(r)(\ln r - \ln r_0), \quad \nu(r) \geq \frac{\ln \mu(r) - \ln \mu(r_0)}{\ln r - \ln r_0} \geq \frac{C \ln \mu(r)}{-\ln r_0}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $(\exists r_1 \in (0, 1)) (\exists C_1 > 0) (\forall r > r_1)$

$$C_1 \ln \mu(r) < \nu(r) < \ln \mu(r) \ln_2^{2+\varepsilon} \mu(r). \tag{2}$$

We choose $\Phi_1(t) = C_1 \ln \mu(1 - 1/t) \in L$ [$\Phi_1(1/(1 - r)) = C_1 \ln \mu(r)$] when $t > t_0$, $f \in S_0^*(\Phi_1)$, because $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1-0} \frac{\nu(r)}{C_1 \ln \mu(r)} \geq 1$. Taking into account

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1-0} (1 - r) \ln_2 M(r) = +\infty \text{ or } \lim_{r \rightarrow 1-0} (1 - r) \ln_2 \mu(r) = +\infty,$$

we get $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1-0} (1 - r)(\ln \Phi_1(\frac{1}{1-r}) - \ln C_1) = +\infty$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln \Phi_1(t)}{t} = +\infty$.

We may put

$$v(x) = \begin{cases} \int_{e^2}^x \frac{dt}{\ln^2 t}, & \text{if } x \geq e^2; \\ 0, & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq e^2. \end{cases}$$

It's obvious, that $v \in L_0$ and providing theorem 1 $\Phi(t) = t\Phi_1(t)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{t}{\Phi_1(t)} \int_0^{\Phi_1(t)} \left(\int_u^{+\infty} \frac{dv(x)}{x} \right) du &= \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{t}{\Phi_1(t)} \left[\int_0^{e^2} \left(\int_u^{+\infty} \frac{dv(x)}{x} \right) du + \right. \\ &+ \left. \int_{e^2}^{\Phi_1(t)} \left(\int_u^{+\infty} \frac{dv(x)}{x} \right) du \right] = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{t}{\Phi_1(t)} \left[\int_0^{e^2} \left(\int_{e^2}^{+\infty} \frac{dx}{x \ln^2 x} \right) du + \right. \\ &+ \left. \int_{e^2}^{\Phi_1(t)} \left(\int_u^{+\infty} \frac{dx}{x \ln^2 x} \right) du \right] = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{t}{\Phi_1(t)} \left[\int_0^{e^2} \left(-\frac{1}{\ln x} \right) \Big|_{e^2}^{+\infty} du + \right. \\ &+ \left. \int_{e^2}^{\Phi_1(t)} \frac{du}{\ln u} \right] \leq 2 \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{t}{\Phi_1(t)} \left[\frac{e^2}{4} + \int_{e^2}^{\Phi_1(t)} \frac{(\ln u - 1) du}{\ln^2 u} \right] = \\ &= 2 \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{t}{\Phi_1(t)} \left[\frac{e^2}{4} + \frac{\Phi_1(t)}{\ln \Phi_1(t)} - \frac{e^2}{2} \right] \leq 3 \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{t}{\ln \Phi_1(t)} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Outside the set E of finite logarithmic measure using the theorem 1 we will obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \ln a_n r^n &\leq \ln \mu(r) - \int_\nu^n \frac{n-t}{t} dv(t) = \ln \mu(r) - n \int_\nu^n \frac{dt}{t \ln^2 t} + \int_\nu^n \frac{dt}{\ln^2 t} \leq \\ &\leq \ln \mu(r) - n \int_{\ln \nu}^{\ln n} \frac{dz}{z^2} + \frac{n}{\ln^2 \nu} = \ln \mu(r) - n \left(\frac{1}{\ln \nu} - \frac{1}{\ln n} \right) + \frac{n}{\ln^2 \nu}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we put $n = [C_1^{-1}\nu(r) \ln^2 \nu(r)]$, where C_1 is constant from inequality (2). Then $(\exists r_1 \in (0, 1)) (\forall r \in (r_1, 1) \setminus E)$

$$\ln a_n r^n \leq \ln \mu(r) - C_1^{-1} \left(\nu(r) \ln^2 \nu(r) \frac{2 \ln_2 \nu(r) - \ln C_1}{\ln \nu(r) (\ln \nu(r) + 2 \ln_2 \nu(r) - \ln C_1)} - \nu(r) \right) \leq \ln \mu(r) - C_1^{-1} (\nu(r) \ln_2 \nu(r) - \nu(r)) \leq \ln \mu(r) - C_1^{-1} \nu(r) < 0.$$

So,

$$N_1(r) \leq C_1^{-1} \nu(r) \ln^2 \nu(r) \leq C_1^{-1} \ln \mu(r) \ln_2^{2+\varepsilon} \mu(r) \times \\ \times [\ln_2 \mu(r) + (2 + \varepsilon) \ln_3 \mu(r)]^2 \leq \ln \mu(r) \times \ln_2^{4+2\varepsilon_1} \mu(r).$$

□

Also we need lower bound for $N_1(r)$.

Lemma 5. *If condition (*) holds, then $(\exists r_1 \in (0, 1)) (\forall r > r_1) N_1(r) \geq \nu(r) \geq C_1 \ln \mu(r)$.*

2 Upper bound for $p_0(r)$

If a is standard gaussian random variable, then

$$P(|a| \geq \lambda) = \frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{|w| \geq \lambda} e^{-|w|^2} dS = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_t^{+\infty} t e^{-t^2} dt d\theta = \\ = \int_{\lambda^2}^{+\infty} e^{-t} dt = \exp(-\lambda^2),$$

$$P(|a| \leq \lambda) = 1 - e^{-\lambda^2} = \lambda^2 - \frac{\lambda^4}{2!} + \dots \in [\lambda^2/2, \lambda^2] \text{ as } \lambda < 1. \quad (3)$$

Theorem 2. *If condition (*) holds, then $(\exists C > 0) (\forall r \in (0, 1) \setminus E)$*

$$p_0(r) \leq S(r) + C N_1(r) \ln N_1(r).$$

We will use the following events

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_r^{(1)} &= \left\{ \omega : |\phi_0(\omega)| \geq \sqrt{N_1(r) + 9\sqrt{N_1(r)}} \right\}, \\ \Omega_r^{(2)} &= \left\{ \omega : |\phi_n(\omega)| \leq \frac{(a_n r^n)^{-1}}{\sqrt{N_1(r)}}, n \in \mathcal{N}_1(r) \right\}, \\ \Omega_r^{(4)} &= \left\{ \omega : |\phi_n(\omega)| \leq N_{1,2}^{-1}(r), n \in \mathcal{N}_{1,2}(r) \right\}, \\ \Omega_r^{(4)} &= \left\{ \omega : |\phi_n(\omega)| \leq \frac{\mu(r)^{m-1}}{N_{m,m+1}(r)m^2}, n \in \mathcal{N}_{m,m+1}(r), m \geq 2 \right\}, \\ \Omega_r &= \bigcap_{j=1}^4 \Omega_r^{(j)}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 6. $\Omega_r \subset \{ \omega : (\forall z \in r\mathbb{D}) : f(z, \omega) \neq 0 \}$.

Proof. To see that $f(z, \omega)$ has no zeros inside $r\mathbb{D}$, we note that

$$|f(z, \omega)| \geq |\phi_0| - \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} |\phi_n| a_n r^n. \tag{4}$$

$$\sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_1(r) \setminus \{0\}} |\phi_n| a_n r^n \leq \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_1(r)} N_1(r)^{-1/2} = \sqrt{N_1(r)}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \notin \mathcal{N}_1(r)} |\phi_n| a_n r^n &= \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} \left[\sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_{m,m+1}(r)} |\phi_n| a_n r^n \right] \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} \left[\sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_{m,m+1}(r)} (N_{m,m+1}(r))^{-1} m^{-2} \right] = \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{m^2} \leq 2. \end{aligned}$$

From (4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z, \omega)| &\geq \sqrt{N_1(r) + 9\sqrt{N_1(r)}} - \sqrt{N_1(r)} - 2 \geq \\ &\geq \frac{9}{\sqrt{1 + 9/\sqrt{N_1(r)}} + 1} - 2 \geq \frac{9}{1 + \sqrt{10}} - 2 > 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of the theorem 3. $P(\Omega_r^{(1)}) = \exp(-N_1(r) - 9\sqrt{N_1(r)})$. Since $a_n r^n \geq 1$ when $n \in \mathcal{N}_1(r)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} P(\Omega_r^{(1)}) &= \exp(-N_1(r) - 9\sqrt{N_1(r)}), \quad P(\Omega_r^{(2)}) \geq \prod_{n \in \mathcal{N}_1(r) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{(a_n r^n)^{-2}}{2N_1(r)} \geq \\ &\geq \left(\prod_{n \in \mathcal{N}_1(r)} \frac{1}{(a_n r^n)^2} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2N_1(r)} \right)^{N_1(r)} \geq \exp(-S(r) - CN_1(r) \ln N_1(r)). \\ P(\Omega_r^{(3)}) &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2N_{1,2}(r)^2} \right)^{N_{1,2}(r)} \geq \\ &\geq \exp(-CN_{1,2}(r) \ln N_{1,2}(r)) \geq \exp(-CN_1(r) \ln N_1(r)), \\ P(\Omega_r^{(4)}) &= 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{\mu(r)^{2(m-1)}}{N_{m,m+1}^2(r)m^4}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Using inequality $P(\{\omega: \forall n: |\phi_n(\omega)| \leq A_n\}) = 1 - P(\{\omega: \exists N: |\phi_n(\omega)| > A_n\}) \geq 1 - \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} P(\{\omega: |\phi_n(\omega)| > A_n\})$ we obtain for $r > r_0$

$$\begin{aligned} P(\Omega_r^{(4)}) &\geq 1 - \sum_{m=2}^{+\infty} N_{m,m+1}(r) \exp\left(-\frac{\mu(r)^{2(m-1)}}{N_{m,m+1}^2(r)m^4}\right) \geq \\ &\geq 1 - CN_1(r) \sum_{m=2}^{+\infty} m \exp\left(-\frac{\mu(r)^{2m-2}}{N_1^2(r)m^6}\right) \geq \\ &\geq 1 - CN_1(r) \sum_{m=2}^{+\infty} m \exp\left(-\frac{\mu(r)^{2m-3}}{m^6}\right) \geq \\ &\geq 1 - CN_1(r) \exp(-\ln \mu(r)) = 1 - \exp(-C\mu(r) + C_1 \ln N_1(r)) \geq \\ &\geq 1 - \exp(-C\mu(r)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $P(\Omega_r^{(4)}) \geq 1 - \exp(-C\mu(r))$.

Since ϕ_n are independent, events $\{\Omega_r^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^4$ are also independent.

$$P(\Omega_r) = P(\Omega_r^{(1)})P(\Omega_r^{(2)})P(\Omega_r^{(3)})P(\Omega_r^{(4)}) \geq \exp(-S(r) - CN_1(r) \ln N_1(r)). \quad \square$$

3 Lower bound for $\mathbf{p}_0(\mathbf{r})$

Now we denote $M(r) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} a_n r^n$, $\mathcal{M}(r, \omega) = \max_{|z| \leq r} |f(z, \omega)|$.

Lemma 7. *If $0 < \delta \leq 1/2$, then $(\exists E_1 \in (0, 1)) (\forall r \in (0, 1) \setminus E_1) (\exists C > 0)$*

$$\ln P\left(\left\{\omega: \frac{\ln \mathcal{M}(r, \omega)}{\ln M(r)} \geq 1 + \delta\right\}\right) \leq -C\mu(r)^{2\delta}.$$

Proof. We consider $\Omega_r^* = \Omega_r^{(4)} \cap \Omega_r^{(5)}$, where $\Omega_r^{(5)} = \{\omega: |\phi_n(\omega)| \leq \mu^\delta(r), n \in \mathcal{N}_2(r)\}$. Then $P(\{\omega: |\phi(\omega)| > \mu^\delta(r)\}) = \exp(-\mu^{2\delta}(r))$. By lemma 6 we obtain $P(\Omega_r^{(4)}) \geq 1 - \exp(-C\mu(r))$. So, $P(\Omega_r^*) \leq \exp(-C\mu(r)) + N_2(r) \exp(-\mu^{2\delta}(r)) \leq \exp(-C\mu^{2\delta}(r))$. Now we remark that

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z, \omega)| &\leq \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_2(r)} |\phi_n| a_n r^n + \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_2^c(r)} |\phi_n| a_n r^n \leq \mu^\delta(r) \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} a_n r^n + 2 = \\ &= \mu^\delta(r) M(r) + 2 \leq M^{1+\delta}(r). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 8 ([2]). $\ln P(\{\omega: \ln \mathcal{M}(r, \omega) \leq 0\}) \leq -S(r)$.

We use the following notations $\delta(r) = N_1(r)^{-\alpha} \in (0, 1)$, $\alpha > 0$, $k(r) = 1 - \delta(r)$.

Denote by $P(z, z_j)$ Poisson kernel for the unit disc

$$\frac{|z|^2 - |z_j|^2}{|z - z_j|^2} = \frac{r^2 - k^2(r)r^2}{r^2 - 2k(r)r^2 \cos(\theta - \phi) + k^2(r)r^2},$$

where $z = re^{i\theta}$, $\{z_j\}_{j=0}^{N_1(r)-1} \in kr\mathbb{T}$, $z_j = k(r)r \exp(\frac{2\pi ij}{N_1(r)}) = k(r)re^{i\varphi}$ $\{j \in 1, \dots, N_1(r)\}$.

Formulation of the following lemma we find in [2]. We produce it with complete proof, having corrected some inaccuracies in its proof in [2].

Lemma 9. $(\exists E_1 \in (0, 1)) (\forall r \in (0, 1) \setminus E_1)$

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\left\{\omega: \frac{2\pi}{N_1(r)} \sum_{j=0}^{N_1(r)-1} \ln |f(z_j, \omega)| = \int_0^{2\pi} \ln |f(z, \omega)| d\theta + \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + \frac{\tilde{C}(r)}{\delta^4(r)N_1(r)} \ln \mu(r), \text{ where } |\tilde{C}(r)| \leq 100\pi\right\}\right) \geq 1 - 2 \exp(-S(k(r)r)). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We suppose that $f(z, \omega)$ has no zeros in $r\mathbb{D}$. Then $\ln |f(z, \omega)|$ is a harmonic function in $r\mathbb{D}$ and $\ln |f(z, \omega)| = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} P(z, z_j) \ln |f(z, \omega)| d\theta$.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2\pi}{N_1(r)} \sum_{j=0}^{N_1(r)-1} \ln |f(z_j, \omega)| &= \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\frac{1}{N_1(r)} \sum_{j=0}^{N_1(r)-1} P(z, z_j) \right) \ln |f(z, \omega)| d\theta = \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} \ln |f(z, \omega)| d\theta + \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\frac{1}{N_1(r)} \sum_{j=0}^{N_1(r)-1} P(z, z_j) - 1 \right) \ln |f(z, \omega)| d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Last expression can be estimated by

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\frac{1}{N_1(r)} \sum_{j=0}^{N_1(r)-1} P(z, z_j) - 1 \right) \ln |f(z, \omega)| d\theta \right| \leq \\ &\leq \max_{z \in r\mathbb{T}} \left| \frac{1}{N_1(r)} \sum_{j=0}^{N_1(r)-1} P(z, z_j) - 1 \right| \int_0^{2\pi} |\ln |f(z, \omega)|| d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Now we put $z = re^{i\theta}$, $w = k(r)re^{i\varphi}$ and $\int_0^{2\pi} P(z, w) d\varphi = 1$. Then we split the circle $kr\mathbb{T}$ into a union of $N_1(r)$ disjoint arcs I_j of equal angular measure $\mu(I_j) = 2\pi/N_1(r)$ centred at the z_j 's.

$$\begin{aligned} 2\pi &= \frac{2\pi}{N_1(r)} \sum_{j=0}^{N_1(r)-1} P(z, z_j) + \sum_{j=0}^{N_1(r)-1} \int_{I_j} (P(z, w) - P(z, z_j)) d\varphi, \\ |P(z, w) - P(z, z_j)| &= \left| \frac{|z|^2 - |w|^2}{|z - w|^2} - \frac{|z|^2 - |z_j|^2}{|z - z_j|^2} \right| = \\ &= \delta^2(r)r^2 \left| \frac{1}{|z - w|^2} - \frac{1}{|z - z_j|^2} \right| = \\ &= \delta^2(r)r^2 \frac{(|z - z_j| + |z - w|) \cdot ||z - z_j| - |z - w||}{|z - w|^2 |z - z_j|^2} \leq \delta^2(r)r^2 \frac{4r \cdot |z_j - w|}{(|z| - |w|)^4} \leq \\ &\leq 4r^3 \delta^2(r) \cdot \frac{2\pi r}{N_1(r)} \frac{1}{\delta^4(r)r^4} = \frac{8\pi}{\delta^2(r)N_1(r)}. \\ -\frac{4}{\delta^2(r)N_1(r)} &\leq \frac{1}{N_1(r)} \sum_{j=0}^{N_1(r)-1} P(z, z_j) - 1 \leq \frac{4}{\delta^2(r)N_1(r)}. \quad (5) \end{aligned}$$

Using lemma 8, we may suppose that there is a point $a \in kr\mathbb{T}$ $\ln |f(a, \omega)| \geq 0$ (discarding an exceptional event of probability at most $\exp(-S(kr))$).

Then

$$0 \leq \int_0^{2\pi} P(z, a) \ln |f(z, \omega)| d\theta = \int_0^{2\pi} P(z, a) (\ln^+ |f(z, \omega)| - \ln^- |f(z, \omega)|) d\theta,$$

$$\int_0^{2\pi} P(z, a) \ln^- |f(z, \omega)| d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} P(z, a) \ln^+ |f(z, \omega)| d\theta.$$

For $|z| = r$ i $|a| = kr$ we have

$$\frac{\delta(r)}{2} \leq \frac{1 - (1 - \delta(r))}{1 + (1 - \delta(r))} \leq P(z, a) \leq \frac{1 + (1 - \delta(r))}{1 - (1 - \delta(r))} \leq \frac{2}{\delta(r)}.$$

By lemma 7 with probability at least $1 - \exp(-C\mu(r))$, we get $\ln \mathcal{M}(r) \leq 1.5 \ln M(r)$, $\int_0^{2\pi} \ln^+ |f(z, \omega)| d\theta \leq 3\pi \ln M(r)$.

$$\int_0^{2\pi} P(z, a) \ln^- |f(z, \omega)| d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} P(z, a) \ln^+ |f(z, \omega)| d\theta \leq$$

$$\leq \frac{2}{\delta(r)} \int_0^{2\pi} \ln^+ |f(z, \omega)| d\theta \leq \frac{6\pi}{\delta(r)} \ln M(r).$$

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \ln^- |f(z, \omega)| d\theta \leq \frac{12\pi}{\delta^2(r)} \ln M(r), \max_{z \in r\mathbb{T}} \left| \frac{1}{N_1(r)} \sum_{j=0}^{N_1(r)-1} P(z, z_j) - 1 \right| \leq$$

$$\leq \frac{4}{\delta^2(r) N_1(r)},$$

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \ln^+ |f(z, \omega)| d\theta \leq 3\pi \ln M(r),$$

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |\ln |f(z, \omega)|| d\theta \leq \left(\frac{12\pi}{\delta^2(r)} + 3\pi \right) \ln M(r) \leq \frac{16\pi}{\delta^2(r)} \ln M(r).$$

Using theorem 1 with $h(r) = (1 - r)^{-1}$ from [4], classical Wiman's inequality holds by condition (*), that is $(\forall r \in (0, 1) \setminus E_1): \ln M(r) \leq$

$$\ln \mu(r) + \left(\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon\right) \ln_2 \mu(r) \leq \frac{100}{64} \ln \mu(r).$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left(\frac{1}{N_1(r)} \sum_{j=0}^{N_1(r)-1} P(z, z_j) - 1 \right) \int_0^{2\pi} |\ln |f(z, \omega)|| d\theta \right| \leq \\ & \leq \frac{4}{\delta^2(r)N_1(r)} \cdot \frac{16\pi}{\delta^2(r)} \ln M(r) \leq \frac{100\pi}{\delta^4(r)N_1(r)} \ln \mu(r), \\ & \int_0^{2\pi} \ln |f(z, \omega)| d\theta - \frac{100\pi}{\delta^4(r)N_1(r)} \ln \mu(r) \leq \\ & \leq \frac{2\pi}{N_1(r)} \sum_{j=0}^{N_1(r)-1} \ln |f(z_j, \omega)| \leq \int_0^{2\pi} \ln |f(z, \omega)| d\theta + \frac{100\pi}{\delta^4(r)N_1(r)} \ln \mu(r). \end{aligned}$$

With probability at least $1 - 2 \exp(-S(k(r)r))$ ($\forall r \in (0, 1) \setminus E_1$)

$$\frac{1}{N_1(r)} \sum_{j=0}^{N_1(r)-1} \ln |f(z_j, \omega)| = \int_0^{2\pi} \ln |f(z, \omega)| d\theta + \frac{\tilde{C}(r)}{\delta^4(r)N_1(r)} \ln \mu(r),$$

where $|\tilde{C}(r)| \leq 100\pi$. □

Since $f(z, \omega) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \phi_n a_n z^n$, then covariance matrix $\Sigma = (\Sigma_{i,j})$ of random vector $\{f(z_1), \dots, f(z_n)\}$

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_{ij} &= \text{Cov}(f(z_i, \omega), f(z_j, \omega)) = \mathbf{E} \left[\left(\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \phi_n a_n z_i^n \right) \cdot \overline{\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \phi_n a_n z_j^n} \right] = \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} a_k^2 (z_i \cdot \bar{z}_j)^k. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

The density function of such random vector ([5])

$$\xi \mapsto \frac{1}{\pi^n |\Sigma|} \cdot \exp(-\xi^T \Sigma^{-1} \xi).$$

We denote for $(r \notin E_1)$ the following events $(\xi_j = f(z_j))$

$$\mathcal{A}' = \left\{ \xi \in \mathbb{C}^N : e^{2\pi} \prod_{j=1}^{N_1(r)} |\xi_j| \leq \exp(2N_1(r) \ln_2 \mu(r) + 100\pi\delta^{-4}(r) \ln \mu(r)) \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{B} = \left\{ \omega : \frac{2\pi}{N_1(r)} \sum_{j=0}^{N_1(r)-1} \ln |f(z_j, \omega)| = \int_0^{2\pi} \ln |f(z, \omega)| d\theta + \frac{\tilde{C}(r)}{\delta^4(r)N_1(r)} \ln \mu(r), \text{ where } |\tilde{C}(r)| \leq 100\pi \right\}.$$

Lemma 10. $(\exists E_1 \in (0, 1))(r \notin E_1) P\left(\left\{\omega : \int_0^{2\pi} \ln |f(z, \omega)| d\theta \leq 2 \ln_2 \mu(r)\right\}\right) \leq P(\mathcal{A}') + P(\overline{\mathcal{B}}).$

Proof. We may consider $\overline{\mathcal{A}'} = \{\xi \in \mathbb{C}^N : e^{2\pi} \prod_{j=1}^{N_1(r)} |\xi_j| \geq \exp(2N_1(r) \times \ln_2 \mu(r) + 100\pi\delta^{-4}(r) \times \ln \mu(r))\}$, $\mathcal{B} = \{\xi \in \mathbb{C}^N : e^{2\pi} \prod_{j=1}^{N_1(r)} |\xi_j| = \exp(N_1(r) \int_0^{2\pi} \ln |f(z, \omega)| d\theta + \tilde{C}(r)\delta^{-4}(r) \ln \mu(r)), \text{ where } |\tilde{C}(r)| \leq 100\pi\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mathcal{A}'} \cap \mathcal{B} &= \left\{ \xi \in \mathbb{C}^N : \exp\left(N_1(r) \int_0^{2\pi} \ln |f(z, \omega)| d\theta + \tilde{C}(r)\delta^{-4}(r) \ln \mu(r)\right) \geq \right. \\ &\quad \left. \geq \exp(2N_1(r) \ln_2 \mu(r) + 100\pi\delta^{-4}(r) \ln \mu(r)) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

So, the event $\{\omega : \int_0^{2\pi} \ln |f(z, \omega)| d\theta \geq 2 \ln_2 \mu(r)\} \subset \overline{\mathcal{A}'} \cap \mathcal{B}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\left\{\omega : \int_0^{2\pi} \ln |f(z, \omega)| d\theta \leq 2 \ln_2 \mu(r)\right\}\right) &\leq P(\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}'} \cap \mathcal{B}}) = \\ &= P(\mathcal{A}' \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}}) \leq P(\mathcal{A}') + P(\overline{\mathcal{B}}) \leq P(\mathcal{A}') + P(\overline{\mathcal{B}}). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 11 ([2]). *Let Σ be covariance matrix (6), then $\ln(\det \Sigma) \geq S(kr)$.*

For $(r \notin E_1)$ we denote by \mathcal{A} the following event

$$\mathcal{A} = \{\xi \in \mathbb{C}^N : \xi \in \mathcal{A}' \text{ i } |\xi_j| \leq M^2(r), 0 \leq j \leq N - 1\},$$

$$I = \pi^{-N} \text{vol}_{\mathbb{C}^N}(\mathcal{A}) = \pi^{-N} \int_{\mathcal{A}} dV.$$

We will use the following lemma from [6].

Lemma 12 ([6]). *If $s > 0, t > 0, N \in \mathcal{N}^+ : \ln(t^N/s) \geq N$ and $\mathcal{C}_N = \mathcal{C}_N(t, s) = \{r = (r_1, \dots, r_N) : 0 \leq r_j \leq t, \prod_{j=1}^N r_j \leq s\}$, then*

$$\text{vol}_{\mathbb{R}^N}(\mathcal{C}_N) \leq \frac{s}{(N-1)!} \ln^N(t^N/s).$$

Lemma 13. $(\forall r \in (0, 1) \setminus E_1)$ and $\delta^{-4}(r) = o(N_1(r))$ ($r \rightarrow 1 - 0$)

$$\ln I \leq 7N_1(r) \ln_2 \mu(r) + 100\pi\delta^{-4}(r) \ln \mu(r).$$

Proof. Suppose now that in lemma 12 $N = N_1(r), s = \exp(2N \ln_2 \mu(r) + 100\pi\delta^{-4}(r) \ln \mu(r)), t = M^2(r)$. We want to translate the integral I into an integral in \mathbb{R}^n , using the change of variables $\xi = r_j \cos \theta_j + ir_j \sin \theta_j$.

$$\det J = \begin{vmatrix} \cos \theta_1 & -r_1 \sin \theta_1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \sin \theta_1 & r_1 \cos \theta_1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \cos \theta_2 & -r_2 \sin \theta_2 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \sin \theta_2 & r_2 \cos \theta_2 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{vmatrix} = \prod_{j=1}^N r_j.$$

$$I' = \pi^{-N} \int_D d\theta \int_{\mathcal{C}} \prod_{j=1}^N r_j dr = \pi^{-N} (2\pi)^N \int_{\mathcal{C}} \prod_{j=1}^N r_j dr = 2^N \int_{\mathcal{C}} \prod_{j=1}^N r_j dr,$$

where $D = [0, 2\pi]^N, \mathcal{C} = \{r = (r_1, \dots, r_N) : 0 \leq r_j \leq t, \prod_{j=1}^N r_j \leq s\}$.

Therefore $I' \leq 2^N \cdot s \cdot \text{vol}_{\mathbb{R}^N}(\mathcal{C}_N)$. We check the following condition $\ln(t^N/s) \geq N$, that is $2N_1(r) \ln M(r) - 2N_1(r) \ln_2 \mu(r) - 100\pi\delta^{-4}(r) \ln \mu(r) \geq N_1(r)$, which is satisfied when $r > r_1$ and $\delta^{-4}(r) = o(N_1(r))$ ($r \rightarrow 1 - 0$). Thus, using lemma 12 we get

$$\begin{aligned} I' &\leq 2^N s \frac{N}{N!} s \ln^N \frac{t^N}{s} \leq \frac{s^2 e^{2N}}{N^N} \ln^N \frac{t^N}{s} = \\ &= \exp(2 \ln s + 2N - N \ln N + N(\ln_2 t + \ln N) - N \ln_2 s) = \\ &= \exp(2 \ln s + 2N + N \ln_2 t - N \ln_2 s). \\ \ln I' &\leq 2 \ln s + 2N + N \ln_2 t - N \ln_2 s \leq 4N_1(r) \ln_2 \mu(r) + \\ &\quad + 100\pi\delta^{-4}(r) \ln \mu(r) + 2N_1(r) + N_1(r) \ln_2 \mu(r) - \\ &\quad - N_1(r) \ln[2N_1(r) \ln_2 \mu(r) + 100\pi\delta^{-4}(r) \ln \mu(r)] \leq \\ &\leq 7N_1(r) \ln_2 \mu(r) + 100\pi\delta^{-4}(r) \ln \mu(r). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 14. $(\exists E_1 \subset (0, 1)) (\forall r \in (0, 1) \setminus E_1) (\exists C > 0) (\delta^{-4}(r) = o(N_1(r)))$
 $(r \rightarrow 1 - 0)$

$$P(\mathcal{A}' \setminus \mathcal{A}) \leq \exp(-C \ln \mu(r)), \quad P(\mathcal{A}) \leq \\ \leq \exp(-S(kr) + 7N_1(r) \ln_2 \mu(r) + 100\pi\delta^{-4}(r) \ln \mu(r)).$$

Proof. From lemma 7 implies the first inequality $P(\mathcal{A}' \setminus \mathcal{A}) = P(\{\xi: |\xi| \leq M^2(r)\}) = P(\{\omega: |f(z_j, \omega)| \leq M^2(r)\}) \leq P(\{\omega: \mathcal{M}(r, \omega) \leq M^2(r)\}) \leq \exp(-C \ln \mu(r))$.

Since covariance matrix is positive definite, then inverse matrix Σ^{-1} is also positive definite, that is $\forall \xi \in R_+^N: -\xi^T \Sigma^{-1} \xi > 0$.

$$P(\mathcal{A}) = \int_{\mathcal{A}} \frac{1}{\pi^N |\Sigma|} \cdot \exp(-\xi^T \Sigma^{-1} \xi) dV \leq \frac{\text{vol}_{\mathbb{C}^N}(\mathcal{A})}{\pi^N |\Sigma|} \leq \\ \leq \exp(-S(kr) + 7N_1(r) \ln_2 \mu(r) + 100\pi\delta^{-4}(r) \ln \mu(r)).$$

□

Theorem 3. *If condition (*) holds, then $(\forall r \in (0, 1) \setminus E)(\delta^{-4}(r) = o(N_1(r)))$
 $(r \rightarrow 1 - 0)$*

$$p_0(r) \geq S(k(r)r) - 7N_1(r) \ln_2 \mu(r) - 100\pi\delta^{-4}(r) \ln \mu(r).$$

Proof. We may suppose that $f(z, \omega)$ has no zeros in $r\mathbb{D}$. Then function $\ln |f(z, \omega)|$ is harmonic in $r\mathbb{D}$. Thus

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \ln |f(z, \omega)| d\theta = \ln |f(0, \omega)|. \\ P(\{\omega: \ln |f(0, \omega)| \geq 2 \ln_2 \mu(r)\}) = P(\{\omega: |\phi_0(\omega)| \geq \ln^2 \mu(r)\}) = \\ = \exp(-\ln^4 \mu(r)).$$

Let $G_1 = \{\omega: \int_0^{2\pi} \ln |f(z, \omega)| d\theta \leq 2 \ln_2 \mu(r)\}$, $G_2 = \{\omega: \ln |f(0, \omega)| \geq 2 \ln_2 \mu(r)\}$, then $\overline{G_1} \cap \overline{G_2} = \{\omega: \ln |f(0, \omega)| < 2 \ln_2 \mu(r) < \int_0^{2\pi} \ln |f(z, \omega)| d\theta\}$.

So,

$$\begin{aligned}
 p_0(r) &= P\left(\left\{\omega: \int_0^{2\pi} \ln |f(z, \omega)| d\theta = \ln |f(0, \omega)|\right\}\right) \leq P(G_1) + P(G_2) = \\
 &= P(\{\omega: \ln |f(0, \omega)| \geq 2 \ln_2 \mu(r)\}) + P\left(\left\{\omega: \int_0^{2\pi} \ln |f(z, \omega)| d\theta \leq \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. \leq 2 \ln_2 \mu(r)\right\}\right) \leq \exp(-\ln^4 \mu(r)) + P(\mathcal{A}') + P(\overline{\mathcal{B}}) = \\
 &= \exp(-\ln^4 \mu(r)) + P(\mathcal{A}) + P(\mathcal{A}' \setminus \mathcal{A}) + P(\overline{\mathcal{B}}) \leq \exp(-\ln^4 \mu(r)) + \\
 &\quad + \exp(-S(k(r)r) + 7N_1(r) \ln_2 \mu(r) + 100\pi\delta^{-4}(r) \ln \mu(r)) + \\
 &\quad + \exp(-\ln \mu(r)) + 2 \exp(-S(k(r)r)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $p_0(r) \geq S(k(r)r) - 7N_1(r) \ln_2 \mu(r) - 100\pi\delta^{-4}(r) \ln \mu(r)$. □

4 Main result

Theorem 4. *If $\{a_n\}$ is log-concave and $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1-0} (1-r) \ln \ln M(r) = +\infty$, then $(\forall \varepsilon > 0) (\exists E_1(\varepsilon, f) = E_1 \subset (0, 1)) (\forall r \in (0, 1) \setminus E_1)$*

$$DE_1 = 0 \text{ and } p_0(r) = S(r) + o(S(r)) \quad (r \rightarrow 1 - 0),$$

or

$$S(r) - S^{9/10}(r) \ln^{18/5+\varepsilon} S(r) \leq p_0(r) \leq S(r) + \sqrt{S(r)} \ln^{3+\varepsilon} S(r).$$

Proof. At first we will estimate $N_1(r) \ln N_1(r)$. $S(r) \leq \ln^2 \mu(r) \ln^{4+\varepsilon} \mu(r) \leq \ln^2 \mu(r) \times \ln^{4+\varepsilon} S(r)$. Then $\sqrt{S(r)} \leq \ln \mu(r) \ln^{2+\varepsilon/2} S(r)$.

$$N_1(r) \leq 2 \frac{S(r)}{\ln \mu(r)} = 2 \frac{\sqrt{S(r)} \sqrt{S(r)}}{\ln \mu(r)} \leq \sqrt{S(r)} \ln^{2+\varepsilon/2} S(r). \tag{7}$$

$$\ln N_1(r) \leq \ln S(r) \Rightarrow N_1(r) \ln N_1(r) \leq \sqrt{S(r)} \ln^{3+\varepsilon/2} S(r). \tag{8}$$

Now we will investigate the function $S(r)$. We remark that $S(r) = 2 \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_1(r)} (\ln a_n + n \ln r)$. Then $S(r)$ is continuous in $(0,1)$. Let $\{r_n\}$ be points where $S'(r)$ doesn't exist. $(\forall k \in \mathbb{N}) (r \neq r_n) (\forall r > r_0)$

$$S'(r) = 2 \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_1(r)} \frac{n}{r} \leq \frac{2}{r_0} \left(\sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_1(r)} n \right) = O(N_1^2(r)) \text{ if } r \rightarrow 1 - 0,$$

$$S''(r) = -2 \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_1(r)} \frac{n}{r^2} < 0.$$

If we denote $\Delta_k = S'_+(r_k) - S'_-(r_k) = N_1(r_k)/r_k$, then for $r > r_1$

$$\begin{aligned} S(r) - S(k(r)r) &\leq \left(S'(k(r)r) + \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_1(r) \setminus \mathcal{N}_1(k(r)r)} \Delta_n \right) (r - k(r)r) \leq \\ &\leq \left(S'(k(r)r) + \frac{1}{r_1} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_1(r) \setminus \mathcal{N}_1(k(r)r)} N_1(r) \right) (r - k(r)r) \leq \\ &\leq C(N_1^2(k(r)r) + N_1^2(r))(r - k(r)r) \leq CN_1^2(r)\delta(r)r \leq C\delta(r)N_1^2(r). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $S(r') \geq S(r) - C\delta(r)N_1^2(r)$. From theorem 4 we get

$$p_0(r) \geq S(r) - C\delta(r)N_1^2(r) - 7N_1(r) \ln_2 \mu(r) - 100\pi\delta^{-4}(r) \ln \mu(r).$$

If we choose $\delta(r) = N_1(r)^{-1/5}$, then

$$p_0(r) \geq S(r) - C_1N_1^{9/5}(r) - C_2N_1^{4/5}(r) \ln \mu(r) \geq S(r) - CN_1^{9/5}(r).$$

Using inequalities (7) and (8) we obtain

$$S(r) - S^{9/10}(r) \ln^{18/5+\varepsilon} S(r) \leq p_0(r) \leq S(r) + \sqrt{S(r)} \ln^{3+\varepsilon} S(r).$$

□

- [1] *Sodin M., Tsirelson B.* Random complex zeros. III. Decay of the hole probability // Israel J. Math. — 2005. — **147**. — P. 371–379.
- [2] *Nishry A.* The hole probability for gaussian entire functions // **arXiv: 0903.4970v1**. — 2009.
- [3] *Скасків О.* Про логарифмічну похідну і центральний показник ряду Діріхле // Математичний вісник НТШ. — 2005. — **53**, №2. — С. 213–230.
- [4] *Скасків О., Куриляк А.* Прямі аналоги нерівності Вімана для функцій аналітичних в одиничному крузі // Карпатські мат. публ. — 2010. — **2**, №1. — С. 109–118.

- [5] *Goodman N.R.* Statistical analysis based on a certain complex gaussian distribution // *Ann. Math. Statist.* — 1963. — **34**, № 1. — P. 152–177.
- [6] *Nishry A.* Asymptotics of the hole probability for zeros of random entire functions // *arXiv: 0909.1270v1.* — 2009.
- [7] *Sodin M.* Zeros of gaussian analytic functions // *Math. Res. Let.* — 2000. — **7**, №4. — P. 371–381.

ЙМОВІРНІСТЬ ВІДСУТНОСТІ НУЛІВ ДЛЯ ДЕЯКИХ АНАЛІТИЧНИХ ФУНКЦІЙ

Олег СКАСКІВ, Андрій КУРИЛЯК

Львівський національний університет імені Івана Франка,
вул. Університетська 1, Львів 79000

e-mail: *www.kurylyak88@gmail.com, matstud@franko.lviv.ua*

Ми розглянемо випадкові аналітичні функції $f(z, \omega) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \phi_n a_n z^n$, де $a_n > 0$ такі, що $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sqrt[n]{a_n} = 1$, $\phi_n = \phi_n(\omega)$ — комплексні гаусові випадкові величини задані на ймовірнісному просторі Штейнгауса (Ω, \mathcal{A}, P) . Якщо $\{a_n\}$ — логарифмічно ввігнута і $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1-0} (1-r) \ln \ln M(r) = +\infty$, тоді $(\exists E(\varepsilon, f) = E \subset (0, 1)) (\forall r \in (0, 1) \setminus E)$

$\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow 1-0} \frac{1}{1-r} \text{meas}(E \cap [r, 1)) = 0$ і $\ln^- P(\{\omega : f(z, \omega) \neq 0\}) = S(r) + o(S(r))$

$(r \rightarrow 1-0)$, де $S(r) = 2 \sum_{n: a_n r^n \geq 1} \ln(a_n r^n)$.